## Exhibit B

## VERDICT FORM

1.	Has Novell proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Microsoft engaged in anticompetitive conduct with respect to the withdrawal of support of the namespace extension APIs?
	Yes No
2.	Has Novell proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Microsoft's conduct with respect to the withdrawal of support for the namespace extension APIs, in light of the weakened state of other applications and independent software vendors ("ISVs"), caused harm to competition in the market for PC operating systems and contributed significantly to the maintenance of Microsoft's monopoly in that market?
	Yes No
3.	Has Novell proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Microsoft's conduct with respect to the withdrawal of the namespace extension APIs, in light of the weakened state of other applications and ISVs, was reasonably capable of significantly contributing to the maintenance of Microsoft's monopoly in the market for PC operating systems?
	Yes No
4.	Has Novell proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Microsoft's conduct with respect to the withdrawal of support for the namespace extension APIs caused Novell's office productivity applications (WordPerfect, Quattro Pro, and PerfectOffice) to be late to market?
	Yes No
5.	Has Novell proven by a preponderance of the evidence that, but for Microsoft's conduct with respect to the withdrawal of support for the namespace extension APIs, Novell's office productivity applications (WordPerfect, Quattro Pro, and PerfectOffice), would have been released to the market either about the time that Windows 95 was released (August 24, 1995), or within a sufficient time period thereafter to take advantage of the release?
	Yes No

6.	What amount of damages, if any, do you award in favor of Novell against Microsoft?
	\$
Date:	Foreperson
	Foreperson