

1 ELLIOT R. PETERS, Bar No. 158708
 epeters@keker.com
 2 R. JAMES SLAUGHTER, Bar No. 192813
 rslaughter@keker.com
 3 KHARI J. TILLERY, Bar. No. 215669
 ktillery@keker.com
 4 **KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP**
 633 Battery Street
 5 San Francisco, CA 94111-1809
 Telephone: (415) 391-5400
 6 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

7 JONATHAN M. MOSES (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 jmmoses@wlrk.com
 8 ADAM L. GOODMAN (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 algoodman@wlrk.com
 9 **WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ**
 51 West 52nd Street
 10 New York, NY 10019
 Telephone: (212) 403-1000
 11 Facsimile: (212) 403-2000

12 *Attorneys for Defendant*
 13 *Black Knight, Inc.*

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 15 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 16 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

18 FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 INTERCONTINENTAL EXCHANGE, INC.
 22 and BLACK KNIGHT, INC.,

23 Defendants.

Case No.: 3:23-cv-01710-AMO

**DEFENDANT BLACK KNIGHT,
 INC.'S ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE
 DEFENSES, AND COUNTERCLAIMS**

24
 25
 26
 27
 28

1 Defendant Black Knight, Inc. (“Black Knight”), by and through its undersigned counsel,
2 hereby answers Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission’s (“Plaintiff” or “FTC”) Complaint for a
3 Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction Pursuant to Section 13(b) of the Federal
4 Trade Commission Act dated April 10, 2023 (the “Complaint”) as follows:

5 INTRODUCTION

6 Intercontinental Exchange, Inc. (“Intercontinental Exchange”) and Black Knight are
7 financial technology companies whose products and services help banks and other lenders make
8 the process of issuing mortgages more efficient and thus less expensive ultimately for
9 homeowners. On May 4, 2022, Intercontinental Exchange and Black Knight signed a merger
10 agreement, whereby Intercontinental Exchange agreed to acquire one hundred percent of Black
11 Knight in a merger that will make the process of issuing and servicing mortgages even more
12 efficient and thus less expensive for potential homeowners, in particular those buying lower-cost
13 homes.

14 Through this action, the FTC is seeking to challenge a version of the merger that will
15 never be. The majority of the Complaint alleges anticompetitive harm that could arise if
16 Intercontinental Exchange were to acquire Black Knight’s Empower loan origination system
17 (“LOS”). The Complaint ignores Black Knight’s and Intercontinental Exchange’s binding
18 agreement to divest Black Knight’s Empower LOS and other products to Constellation Web
19 Solutions, Inc., a subsidiary of Constellation Software, Inc. (collectively, “Constellation”).
20 Constellation is a large, sophisticated public company with deep experience in the mortgage
21 technology business, and the divestiture provides Constellation everything it needs to compete for
22 the provision of LOS services. Rather than engage with and consider the divestiture, the FTC
23 rushed to file an administrative complaint in the FTC’s administrative court in March 2023 that
24 failed to account for the divestiture’s effect. And, despite delaying the filing of this latest
25 Complaint by a month, the FTC yet again fails to account for the divestiture’s effect. As will be
26 shown in this proceeding, this divestiture preserves—if not enhances—competition in the LOS
27 space.

28 Even without the divestiture, the proposed transaction (the “Transaction”) would have no

1 substantial anticompetitive effect on an industry that is characterized by dynamic competition and
2 an influx of new entrants. Numerous LOS providers compete to win business from lenders of all
3 sizes and will continue to do so post-Transaction. And when properly accounting for the
4 divestiture, Intercontinental Exchange’s proposed acquisition of Black Knight *certainly* does not
5 violate the antitrust laws. Constellation is a public company with a market capitalization of
6 \$41 billion, over three times *larger* than Black Knight, that is in the business of acquiring,
7 managing, and growing vertical market software businesses. Constellation has successfully
8 deployed this strategy with numerous software businesses, including other businesses in the
9 mortgage technology space. In addition, the agreement between Intercontinental Exchange,
10 Black Knight, and Constellation provides Constellation access to sell Black Knight’s Optimal
11 Blue pricing product and other services on highly favorable commercial terms for Constellation.

12 Thus, from day one, Constellation will have everything it needs to compete aggressively
13 in the LOS space with a robust suite of services and is eager to do so. And Constellation’s
14 experience and proven track record in mortgage technology ensures that Constellation is well-
15 positioned to not only maintain, but improve upon, Empower’s competitive performance in the
16 LOS space. The FTC and the Court must consider this procompetitive LOS divestiture when
17 assessing the likely competitive effects of the Transaction. *See, e.g., United States v.*
18 *UnitedHealth Group Inc.*, No. 1:22-cv-0481, 2022 WL 4365867, at *11 (D.D.C. Sept. 21, 2022).

19 The FTC’s remaining allegations with respect to product pricing and eligibility engines
20 (“PPEs”)—software that streamlines the calculation of mortgage pricing options and allows
21 lenders to offer the best pricing options possible—are based on a fundamental misunderstanding
22 of the competitive dynamics for such product and pricing tools. Intercontinental Exchange’s
23 native Encompass Product and Pricing Service product (“EPPS”) and Black Knight’s Optimal
24 Blue pricing product are not close substitutes and do not competitively constrain one another.
25 Nearly all LOS providers, including Intercontinental Exchange, provide some basic, embedded
26 product and pricing capabilities within their LOSs. Intercontinental Exchange’s EPPS product
27 exists in this category—it is embedded in Intercontinental Exchange’s Encompass LOS, cannot
28 be used with any other LOS, and is offered free or as an inexpensive add-on to Encompass.

1 Separately, numerous third-party commercial PPE providers, including Black Knight's Optimal
2 Blue, offer standalone systems with enhanced automation and PPE capabilities that can be used
3 with any LOS. These third-party PPE solutions provide lenders with their choice of standalone
4 systems to integrate with their LOS and offer robust and wide-ranging functionality and services
5 that cannot be achieved through the basic embedded pricing tools. It is the difference between a
6 bicycle and a sports car. The products therefore cater to different customer segments who pay
7 vastly different prices. Nothing about the Transaction will reduce competition for PPE solutions.

8 Because of the merger's outside termination date of November 4, 2023, and the FTC's
9 admitted inability to fully resolve its administrative proceedings prior to date, the fate of the
10 parties' \$11.7 billion transaction sits in this Court's hands. As reflected in Defendants' request
11 for an expedited case management conference (Dkt. 23), Defendants have a proposed a schedule
12 for these proceedings that would allow the parties to complete expedited discovery and present
13 this matter to the Court for its consideration promptly and well in advance of the merger's
14 termination date. Breaking with long-standing practice, the FTC has proposed proceeding first
15 with the administrative proceeding (which the FTC has conceded cannot be decided before the
16 merger's termination date) and then only presenting the paper record of that proceeding for this
17 Court's review. While the FTC is seeking to delay and limit this Court's independent review of
18 its motion for a preliminary injunction and time the transaction out, Intercontinental Exchange's
19 proposed acquisition of Black Knight, considered together with the divestiture of Black Knight's
20 Empower LOS to Constellation, is a procompetitive transaction that violates no antitrust law.
21 The FTC's Complaint should be dismissed and the relief it seeks denied.

22 ANSWER

23 All allegations not expressly admitted herein are denied. Though included herein for
24 reference, Black Knight does not interpret the headings and subheadings throughout the
25 Complaint as well-pleaded allegations to which any response is required. To the extent such a
26 response is required, Black Knight denies all allegations in the headings and subheadings of the
27 Complaint. Use of certain terms or phrases defined in the Complaint is not an acknowledgment
28 or admission of any characterization the FTC may ascribe to the defined terms. Unless otherwise

1 defined, capitalized terms shall refer to the capitalized terms defined in the Complaint, but any
2 such use is not an acknowledgment or admission of any characterization the FTC may ascribe to
3 the capitalized terms.

4 Black Knight does not concede the truthfulness of third-party sources quoted or
5 referenced in the Complaint. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight denies all
6 allegations of the third-party sources quoted in or referenced in the Complaint. Unless expressly
7 acknowledged below, Black Knight further does not concede the accuracy or completeness of
8 alleged quotations from Black Knight employees, which are provided without the appropriate
9 context or proffered in part to assert truth in a manner that may not be consistent with the
10 declarant's intent. Black Knight reserves the right to amend and/or supplement this answer at a
11 later stage of the proceedings. Each paragraph below corresponds to the same-numbered
12 paragraph in the Complaint.

13 NATURE OF THE CASE

14 1. Paragraph 1 asserts general background allegations that do not relate to Black
15 Knight, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight
16 lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations
17 contained in Paragraph 1, which purport to characterize homebuyers generally, and denies those
18 allegations on that basis.

19 2. Paragraph 2 asserts general background allegations that do not relate to Black
20 Knight, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight
21 lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations
22 contained in Paragraph 2, which purport to characterize all lenders generally, and denies those
23 allegations on that basis.

24 3. Paragraph 3 asserts general background allegations that do not relate to Black
25 Knight, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight
26 lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations
27 contained in Paragraph 3, which purport to characterize all lenders and LOSs generally, and
28 denies those allegations on that basis.

1 4. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
2 truth of the allegations regarding Intercontinental Exchange contained in the first sentence of
3 Paragraph 4 and denies those allegations on that basis. Black Knight admits that it provides the
4 Empower LOS product and that Empower LOS operates in the United States, but otherwise
5 denies the remaining allegations contained in the second sentence of Paragraph 4.

6 5. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
7 truth of the allegations regarding Intercontinental Exchange contained in Paragraph 5 and denies
8 those allegations on that basis. The second sentence of Paragraph 5 purports to characterize the
9 contents of a statement by Black Knight's CFO, and Black Knight respectfully refers the Court to
10 that statement for a complete and accurate recitation of its contents. To the extent the allegations
11 set forth in the second sentence of Paragraph 5 are inconsistent with the statement, Black Knight
12 denies the allegations. Paragraph 5 otherwise asserts legal conclusions to which no response is
13 required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the remaining allegations
14 contained in Paragraph 5.

15 6. Paragraph 6 asserts general background allegations that do not relate to Black
16 Knight, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight
17 lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations
18 contained in Paragraph 6, which purport to characterize all LOS providers and LOSs generally,
19 and denies those allegations on that basis. In addition, Black Knight lacks sufficient knowledge
20 or information regarding the Complaint's use of the vague term "ancillary services" and denies
21 any allegations relating thereto.¹ By way of further response, Black Knight avers that it offers
22 services used to process, underwrite, fund, and close a loan.

23 7. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
24 truth of the allegations regarding Intercontinental Exchange contained in Paragraph 7 and denies
25 the allegations on that basis. Black Knight denies the remaining allegations contained in

26 _____
27 ¹ Black Knight lacks sufficient knowledge or information regarding the term "ancillary services,"
28 as vaguely defined in the Complaint as "tens to hundreds of services" that are "touche[d] on" as a
"mortgage moves from application to close," and denies each and every allegation in which that
term appears in the Complaint on that basis.

1 Paragraph 7, except to admit that Black Knight owns Optimal Blue.

2 8. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 8.

3 9. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
4 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 and denies the allegations on that basis.

5 10. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
6 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 and denies the allegations on that basis.

7 11. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
8 truth of the allegations regarding Intercontinental Exchange contained in the second sentence of
9 Paragraph 11 and denies those allegations on that basis. In addition, Paragraph 11 asserts legal
10 conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight
11 denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 11.

12 12. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
13 truth of the allegations regarding Intercontinental Exchange contained in Paragraph 12 and denies
14 those allegations on that basis. Black Knight denies the remaining allegations contained in
15 Paragraph 12.

16 13. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 13.

17 14. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 14.

18 15. Paragraph 15 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
19 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 15.

20 16. Paragraph 16 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
21 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 16.

22 17. Paragraph 17 asserts general background allegations to which no response is
23 required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight lacks knowledge or information
24 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the first sentence of
25 Paragraph 17, which purports to characterize the FTC's actions. Black Knight avers by way of
26 further response that the Commission voted out an administrative Complaint on March 9, 2023,
27 by a 4-0 vote, that alleged that the Acquisition would substantially lessen competition in violation
28 of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45.

1 The remaining allegations purport to characterize the Scheduling Order entered by the
2 Administrative Law Judge on March 29, 2023, the FTC’s administrative Complaint, and the
3 FTC’s Rules of Practice, and Black Knight respectfully refers the Court to those documents for a
4 complete and accurate statement of their contents. To the extent the remaining allegations are
5 inconsistent with those documents, Black Knight denies the allegations.

6 18. Paragraph 18 assert legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
7 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the remaining allegations contained in
8 Paragraph 18.

9 19. Paragraph 19 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
10 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 19.

11 **JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT**

12 **A. Jurisdiction**

13 20. Paragraph 20 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
14 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 20.

15 21. Paragraph 21 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
16 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 21.

17 22. Paragraph 22 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
18 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 22.

19 **B. Venue**

20 23. Paragraph 23 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
21 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 23.

22 **C. Intradistrict Assignment**

23 24. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
24 truth of the allegations regarding Intercontinental Exchange contained in Paragraph 24 and denies
25 the allegations on that basis. Paragraph 24 otherwise asserts legal conclusions to which no
26 response is required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations
27 contained in Paragraph 24.

28

THE PARTIES AND THE PROPOSED ACQUISITION

1
2 25. Paragraph 25 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required.

3 26. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
4 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 26 and denies the allegations on that basis.

5 27. Black Knight admits the allegations contained in the first sentence of
6 Paragraph 27. Black Knight denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 27, except
7 to admit that Black Knight provides software, data, and analytics in connection with mortgage
8 origination, that Black Knight offers Empower and MSP, that Black Knight acquired Compass
9 Analytics in 2019, and that Black Knight acquired Optimal Blue in 2020. By way of further
10 response, Black Knight avers that it also provides software, data, and analytics for home equity
11 lending and servicing.

12 28. Black Knight admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 28. Black Knight
13 avers by way of further response that the purchase price for the Transaction has been reduced to
14 approximately \$11.7 billion.

15 29. Black Knight admits only that Black Knight and Intercontinental Exchange
16 advised the FTC that they would seek to close the Transaction immediately following a vote of
17 Black Knight's shareholders scheduled for April 28, 2023, in the event the FTC did not seek a
18 preliminary injunction. The remaining allegations assert legal conclusions to which no response
19 is required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the remaining allegations
20 contained in Paragraph 29.

21 **RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE ORIGINATION**

22 30. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
23 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 30, which purport to characterize homebuyers and
24 mortgage lending institutions generally, and denies the allegations on that basis. Black Knight
25 avers by way of further response that many homebuyers rely on a mortgage to finance the
26 purchase of a home.

27 31. Paragraph 31 asserts general background allegations that do not relate to Black
28 Knight, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight

1 lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations
2 contained in Paragraph 31, which purport to describe all LOSs generally, and denies those
3 allegations on that basis. By way of further response, Black Knight avers that LOS technology is
4 used by mortgage lenders to originate home mortgages and to automate residential loan
5 manufacturing.

6 32. Paragraph 32 asserts general background allegations that do not relate to Black
7 Knight, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight
8 lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations
9 contained in Paragraph 32, which purport to describe all LOSs and lenders generally, and denies
10 those allegations on that basis.

11 33. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in the third sentence of Paragraph
12 33. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
13 remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 33, which purport to characterize all proprietary
14 LOSs and lenders generally, and denies those allegations on that basis.

15 34. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
16 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 34, which purport to characterize ancillary services
17 (which the Complaint has defined only vaguely), all LOS providers that offer ancillary services,
18 and lenders generally, and denies the allegations on that basis.

19 35. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
20 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 35, which purport to characterize all PPEs
21 generally, and denies the allegations on that basis.

22 36. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
23 truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 36, which purport to characterize all
24 lenders, LOSs, and PPEs generally, and denies the allegations on that basis.

25 **THE RELEVANT ANTITRUST MARKETS**

26 37. Paragraph 37 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
27 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 37.

28

1 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 44, as they assert general background information
2 that does not relate to Black Knight, and denies the allegations on that basis.

3 45. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 45.

4 46. Paragraph 46 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
5 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 46.

6 47. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 47.

7 **B. The LOS Market**

8 48. Paragraph 48 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
9 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 48.

10 49. The first sentence of Paragraph 49 asserts legal conclusions to which no response
11 is required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in
12 the first sentence of Paragraph 49. Black Knight otherwise lacks knowledge or information
13 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 49,
14 as they contain general background information concerning all LOSs and mortgage lenders
15 generally and do not relate to Black Knight, and denies those allegations on that basis.

16 50. The first sentence of Paragraph 50 asserts legal conclusions to which no response
17 is required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in
18 the first sentence of Paragraph 50. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to
19 form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 50, as they
20 contain general background information concerning all LOSs and mortgage lenders generally and
21 do not relate to Black Knight, and denies those allegations on that basis.

22 51. Paragraph 51 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
23 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 51.

24 52. Paragraph 52 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
25 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 52.

26 53. Paragraph 53 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
27 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 53.

28

1 54. Paragraph 54 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
2 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 54.

3 **C. The Market for PPEs for Users of Encompass**

4 55. Paragraph 55 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
5 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 55.

6 56. The first sentence of Paragraph 56 asserts legal conclusions to which no response
7 is required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in
8 the first sentence of Paragraph 56. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to
9 form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 56, which purport
10 to characterize PPEs generally, and denies the allegations on that basis.

11 57. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
12 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 57 and denies the allegations on that basis.

13 58. Paragraph 58 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
14 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 58.

15 59. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
16 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 59 and denies the allegations on that basis.

17 60. Paragraph 60 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
18 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 60.

19 61. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
20 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 61 and denies the allegations on that basis.

21 **D. The PPE Market**

22 62. Paragraph 62 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the
23 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 62.

24 63. The first sentence of Paragraph 63 asserts legal conclusions to which no response
25 is required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in
26 the first sentence of Paragraph 63. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in the second
27 and third sentences of Paragraph 63. The final sentence of Paragraph 63 purports to characterize
28 the contents of an analyst presentation, and Black Knight respectfully refers the Court to the

1 analyst presentation for a complete and accurate statement of its contents. To the extent the
2 allegations set forth in the final sentence of Paragraph 63 are inconsistent with the analyst
3 presentation, Black Knight denies the allegations.

4 64. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
5 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 64, which purport to characterize all PPEs
6 generally, and denies the allegations on that basis, except to admit that Black Knight provides
7 PPEs.

8 65. Paragraph 65 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
9 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 65.

10 66. Paragraph 66 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
11 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 66.

12 67. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 67.

13 **E. The Relevant Geographic Market Is the United States**

14 68. Paragraph 68 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
15 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 68.

16 **MARKET CONCENTRATION AND THE ACQUISITION'S**
17 **PRESUMPTIVE ILLEGALITY**

18 69. Paragraph 69 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the
19 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 69.

20 70. Paragraph 70 purports to characterize the 2010 U.S. Department of Justice and
21 Federal Trade Commission Horizontal Merger Guidelines (the “Merger Guidelines”), and Black
22 Knight respectfully refers the Court to the Merger Guidelines for a complete and accurate
23 statement of their contents. To the extent the allegations set forth in Paragraph 70 are inconsistent
24 with the Merger Guidelines, Black Knight denies the allegations. Black Knight further denies
25 that the Merger Guidelines are vested with the authority to determine the legality of any
26 acquisition, including through the provision of a presumption of unlawfulness.

27 71. The first sentence of Paragraph 71 purports to characterize the Home Mortgage
28 Disclosure Act (the “HMDA”), and Black Knight respectfully refers the Court to the HMDA for a

1 complete and accurate statement of its contents. To the extent the allegations set forth in the first
2 sentence of Paragraph 71 are inconsistent with the HMDA, Black Knight denies the allegations.
3 Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
4 allegations contained in the second sentence of Paragraph 71, which purport to characterize
5 lenders and regulators generally, and denies the allegations on that basis. Black Knight denies the
6 remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 71.

7 72. Paragraph 72 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the
8 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 72.

9 73. Paragraph 73 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the
10 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 73.

11 74. Paragraph 74 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the
12 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 74.

13 75. Paragraph 75 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the
14 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 75.

15 **EVIDENCE OF REASONABLY PROBABLE ANTICOMPETITIVE EFFECTS**

16 **A. Anticompetitive Effects in LOS Markets**

17 76. Paragraph 76 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
18 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 76.

19 77. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
20 truth of the allegations regarding Intercontinental Exchange contained in Paragraph 77 and denies
21 the allegations on that basis. Black Knight denies the remaining allegations contained in
22 Paragraph 77.

23 78. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 78.
24 The second sentence of Paragraph 78 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required.
25 To the extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in the second
26 sentence of Paragraph 78.

27
28

i. ICE and Black Knight Are Each Other's Closest Head-to-Head Competitors

1
2
3 79. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in the first and penultimate
4 sentences of Paragraph 79. The second sentence of Paragraph 79 purports to characterize the
5 contents of certain statements made by Black Knight's current chairman during an event, and the
6 third sentence of Paragraph 79 purports to characterize certain statements made by Black
7 Knight's CFO made during a conference. Black Knight respectfully refers the Court to the
8 transcripts from those events for a complete and accurate statement of their contents. To the
9 extent the allegations set forth in the second and third sentences of Paragraph 79 are inconsistent
10 with those transcripts, Black Knight denies the allegations. Black Knight otherwise lacks
11 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations
12 contained in Paragraph 79 and denies the allegations on that basis.

13 80. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
14 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 80 and denies the allegations on that basis.

15 81. Black Knight denies the allegations set forth in the first sentence of Paragraph 81.
16 Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the
17 allegations contained in the second sentence of Paragraph 81 and denies the allegations on that
18 basis. The third sentence of Paragraph 81 purports to characterize a statement made in
19 preparation for a 2021 Black Knight earnings call, and Black Knight respectfully refers the Court
20 to that document for a complete and accurate statement of its contents. To the extent the
21 allegations set forth in the third sentence of Paragraph 81 are inconsistent with that document,
22 Black Knight denies the allegations.

23 82. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 82, except to admit
24 that Black Knight attempts to track when mortgage lenders' existing LOS contracts may expire or
25 are up for renewal.

26 83. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
27 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 83 and denies the allegations on that basis.
28

1 84. Paragraph 84 purports to characterize certain tracking data maintained by Black
2 Knight, and Black Knight respectfully refers the Court to that data for a complete and accurate
3 statement of their contents. To the extent the allegations set forth in Paragraph 84 are inconsistent
4 with the tracking data, Black Knight denies the allegations.

5 **ii. There Is a Reasonable Probability That the Acquisition Will Eliminate**
6 **LOS Price Competition Between ICE and Black Knight**

7 85. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 85.

8 86. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
9 truth of the allegations regarding Intercontinental Exchange contained in Paragraph 86 and denies
10 the allegations on that basis. To the extent the allegations contained in Paragraph 86 purport to
11 refer to or characterize certain documents, Black Knight respectfully directs the Court to those
12 documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents, and denies the allegations to
13 the extent they are inconsistent with those documents. Black Knight lacks knowledge or
14 information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining allegations, which are general in nature,
15 and denies the allegations on that basis.

16 87. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
17 truth of the allegations regarding Intercontinental Exchange contained in Paragraph 87 and denies
18 those allegations on that basis. Black Knight denies the remaining allegations contained in
19 Paragraph 87.

20 **iii. There Is a Reasonable Probability That the Acquisition Will Eliminate**
21 **Competition for LOS Features and Integrations**

22 88. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
23 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 88, as they purport to characterize ancillary
24 services (which the Complaint has defined only vaguely) and lenders generally, and denies the
25 allegations on that basis.

26 89. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
27 truth of the allegations regarding Intercontinental Exchange contained in Paragraph 89 and denies
28 the allegations on that basis. Black Knight denies the remaining allegations contained in

1 Paragraph 89.

2 90. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 90,
3 except to admit that Black Knight acquired Compass Analytics and Optimal Blue and integrated
4 their respective PPEs with Empower. To the extent the allegations contained in the second
5 sentence of Paragraph 90 characterize certain documents, Black Knight respectfully directs the
6 Court to those documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents, and denies the
7 allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with those documents.

8 91. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
9 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 91 and denies the allegations on that basis.

10 92. Paragraph 92 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the
11 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 92.

12 **B. Anticompetitive Effects in PPE Markets**

13 93. Paragraph 93 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
14 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 93.

15 94. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 94.

16 95. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
17 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 95 and denies the allegations on that basis.

18 96. Black Knight denies that the result of the Acquisition will be to consolidate
19 ownership of the two leading PPEs in the United States. Black Knight lacks knowledge or
20 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in
21 Paragraph 96 and denies the allegations on that basis.

22 **ii. There Is a Reasonable Probability That the Acquisition Will Eliminate**
23 **Head-to-Head PPE Competition Between ICE and Black Knight**

24 97. Paragraph 97 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
25 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in the first and
26 second sentences of Paragraph 97, and otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to
27 form a belief as to the truth of the allegations regarding Intercontinental Exchange contained in
28 Paragraph 97 and denies the allegations on that basis.

1 98. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
2 truth of the allegations regarding Intercontinental Exchange contained in Paragraph 98 and denies
3 those allegations on that basis. Black Knight denies the remaining allegations contained in
4 Paragraph 98. By way of further response, Black Knight avers that Empower has its own native
5 PPE functionality, and that Black Knight acquired Compass Analytics and Optimal Blue and
6 integrated their respective PPEs with Empower.

7 99. Paragraph 99 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the
8 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 99, and
9 avers by way of further response that Optimal Blue is widely used and integrated into LOSs
10 owned by different providers.

11 100. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph
12 100. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
13 the allegations contained in the second sentence of Paragraph 100 and denies the allegations on
14 that basis.

15 101. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
16 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 101 and denies the allegations on that basis.

17 102. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 102, except to admit
18 that Black Knight acquired Compass Analytics in 2019.

19 103. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 103, except to admit
20 that Black Knight acquired Optimal Blue in 2020.

21 104. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
22 truth of the allegations contained in the first and second sentences of Paragraph 104 and denies
23 the allegations on that basis. The remaining allegations purport to refer to and characterize
24 statements made by Optimal Blue's CEO in 2017, and Black Knight respectfully refers the Court
25 to those statements for a complete and accurate recitation of their contents. To the extent the
26 remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 104 are inconsistent with those statements, Black
27 Knight denies the allegations.

28 105. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the

1 truth of the allegations regarding Intercontinental Exchange contained in Paragraph 105 and
2 denies those allegations on that basis. Black Knight denies the remaining allegations contained in
3 Paragraph 105. To the extent Paragraph 105 purports to characterize statements made by Optimal
4 Blue and Black Knight, Black Knight respectfully refers the Court to those statements for a
5 complete and accurate recitation of their contents, and further denies the allegations to the extent
6 they are inconsistent with those statements.

7 106. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 106, except to admit
8 that Black Knight integrated Optimal Blue's PPE with Empower. To the extent Paragraph 106
9 purports to characterize statements made by Black Knight's CEO during an earnings call, Black
10 Knight respectfully refers the Court to a transcript of that earnings call for a complete and
11 accurate statement of its contents, and further denies the allegations to the extent they are
12 inconsistent with that transcript.

13 107. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
14 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 107 and denies the allegations on that basis.

15 108. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
16 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 108 and denies the allegations on that basis.

17 109. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
18 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 109 and denies the allegations on that basis.

19 110. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
20 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 110 and denies the allegations on that basis.

21 111. Black Knight admits that on May 4, 2022, Intercontinental Exchange announced
22 its agreement to acquire Black Knight. Black Knight otherwise lacks knowledge or information
23 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 111 and denies
24 the allegations on that basis.

25 112. Paragraph 112 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
26 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in the first sentence
27 of Paragraph 112, and lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
28 the allegations contained in the second sentence of Paragraph 112 and denies the allegations on

1 that basis.

2 113. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
3 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 113 and denies the allegations on that basis.

4 **iii. There Is a Reasonable Probability That the Acquisition Will Increase**
5 **ICE’s Ability and Incentive to Foreclose Competition from Other PPE**
6 **Providers**

7 114. Paragraph 114 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
8 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 114.

9 **a. ICE Can Disadvantage PPE Competitors by Degrading or**
10 **Restricting LOS Integration**

11 115. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
12 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 115, as they purport to characterize all mortgage
13 lenders generally, and denies the allegations on that basis.

14 116. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
15 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 116, as they purport to characterize all lenders,
16 PPEs, and PPE providers generally, and denies the allegations on that basis.

17 117. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 117.

18 118. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
19 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 118 and denies the allegations on that basis.

20 119. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 119. To the extent
21 Paragraph 119 purports to characterize statements made by one of Black Knight’s executives,
22 Black Knight respectfully refers the Court to those statements for a complete and accurate
23 recitation of their contents, and further denies the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent
24 with those statements.

25 120. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
26 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 120 and denies the allegations on that basis.

27 121. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
28 truth of the allegations regarding Intercontinental Exchange contained in Paragraph 121 and

1 denies the allegations on that basis. To the extent Paragraph 121 purports to characterize the
2 contents of unidentified documents, Black Knight respectfully refers the Court to those
3 documents for a complete and accurate statement of their contents, and denies the allegations to
4 the extent they are inconsistent with those documents.

5 122. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
6 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 122 and denies the allegations on that basis.

7 123. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
8 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 123 and denies the allegations on that basis.

9 **b. Post-Acquisition, ICE Will Have a Greater Incentive to Foreclose**
10 **Competition for PPEs**

11 124. Paragraph 124 asserts a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the
12 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 124.

13 125. To the extent Paragraph 125 purports to characterize the contents of unidentified
14 documents, Black Knight respectfully refers the Court to those documents for a complete and
15 accurate statement of their contents, and denies the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent
16 with those documents. Black Knight denies the remaining allegations contained in
17 Paragraph 125.

18 126. The first sentence of Paragraph 126 asserts legal conclusions to which no response
19 is required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in
20 the first sentence of Paragraph 126. Black Knight denies the remaining allegations contained in
21 Paragraph 126.

22 127. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
23 truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 127 and denies the allegations on that basis.

24 128. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 128.

25 **C. Anticompetitive Effects in Other Relevant Antitrust Markets for Ancillary**
26 **Services**

27 129. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 129.

28 130. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 130.

1 131. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 131.

2 132. Black Knight admits that it currently offers the Ernst fee service, but otherwise
3 denies the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 132. Black Knight denies the
4 allegations contained in the third sentence of Paragraph 132. Black Knight lacks knowledge or
5 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in
6 Paragraph 132, as they purport to characterize all fee services generally or relate to
7 Intercontinental Exchange, and denies those allegations on that basis.

8 133. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in the second sentence of Paragraph
9 133, except that Black Knight admits that it offers the Regulatory Assist regulatory compliance
10 service and avers by way of further response that some lenders who use Black Knight's Empower
11 use a third-party regulatory compliance service. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information
12 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 133
13 and denies those allegations on that basis.

14 **LACK OF COUNTERVAILING FACTORS**

15 134. Paragraph 134 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
16 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 134.

17 135. The last sentence of Paragraph 135 appears to characterize a submission made in
18 the context of the FTC's investigation into the Transaction. Black Knight respectfully refers the
19 Court to that document for a complete and accurate statement of its contents and denies the
20 allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with that document. Paragraph 135 otherwise
21 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required,
22 Black Knight denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 135.

23 136. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 136, except that, to the
24 extent the second sentence of Paragraph 136 purports to refer to or characterize a submission
25 made in the context of the FTC's investigation into the Transaction, Black Knight respectfully
26 refers the Court to that document for a complete and accurate statement of its contents, and denies
27 the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with that document. To the extent the fourth
28 sentence of Paragraph 136 purports to characterize and quote a statement made by Black Knight's

1 CFO during an investor conference, Black Knight respectfully refers the Court to the transcript
2 from that conference for a complete and accurate statement of its contents, and denies the
3 allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with that transcript.

4 137. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in the first sentence of
5 Paragraph 137. Black Knight otherwise lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a
6 belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 137 and denies the
7 allegations on that basis.

8 138. The first sentence of Paragraph 138 asserts legal conclusions to which no response
9 is required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in
10 the first sentence of Paragraph 138. Black Knight denies the remaining allegations contained in
11 Paragraph 138.

12 139. The first sentence of Paragraph 139 asserts legal conclusions to which no response
13 is required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in
14 the first sentence of Paragraph 139. Black Knight denies the remaining allegations contained in
15 Paragraph 139.

16 140. The first sentence of Paragraph 140 asserts legal conclusions to which no response
17 is required. To the extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in
18 the first sentence of Paragraph 140. Black Knight otherwise denies the remaining allegations
19 contained in Paragraph 140, except to admit that Black Knight owned Compass Analytics and a
20 native PPE when it acquired Optimal Blue. To the extent the allegations contained in Paragraph
21 140 purport to refer to or characterize a submission made in the context of the FTC's
22 investigation into the Transaction, Black Knight respectfully refers the Court to that document for
23 a complete and accurate statement of its contents, and denies the allegations to the extent they are
24 inconsistent with that document. To the extent Paragraph 140 purports to characterize statements
25 made by Black Knight's CEO during an earnings call, Black Knight respectfully refers the Court
26 to the transcript of that earnings call for a complete and accurate statement of its contents, and
27 denies the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with those statements.

28 141. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 141.

1 142. Black Knight lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
2 truth of the allegations regarding Intercontinental Exchange contained in Paragraph 142 and
3 denies those allegations on that basis. To the extent Paragraph 142 purports to refer to or
4 characterize certain documents, Black Knight respectfully refers the Court to those documents for
5 a complete and accurate statement of their contents, and denies the allegations to the extent they
6 are inconsistent with those documents. Black Knight denies the remaining allegations contained
7 in Paragraph 142.

8 143. Paragraph 143 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
9 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 143.

10 **DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED REMEDY WILL NOT FIX**
11 **THE ACQUISITION'S ANTICOMPETITIVE EFFECTS**

12 144. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in the first and last sentences of
13 Paragraph 144 and avers by way of further response that Black Knight and Intercontinental
14 Exchange have signed a definitive agreement to divest Empower to Constellation. To the extent
15 the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 144 purport to characterize the Merger
16 Agreement, as amended, Black Knight respectfully refers the Court to that document for a
17 complete and accurate statement of its contents, and denies the allegations to the extent they are
18 inconsistent with the Merger Agreement.

19 145. Paragraph 145 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
20 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 145.

21 **LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS ON THE MERITS,**
22 **BALANCE OF EQUITIES, AND NEED FOR RELIEF**

23 146. Paragraph 146 asserts legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the
24 extent a response is required, Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 146.

25 147. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 147.

26 148. Black Knight denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 148.

27 149. Black Knight denies the first sentence of Paragraph 149. The remainder of
28 Paragraph 149 is a request for relief to which no response is required. To the extent a response is

1 required, Black Knight denies that the FTC is entitled to the relief requested in Paragraph 149.

2 **AFFIRMATIVE AND OTHER DEFENSES**

3 Black Knight asserts the following defenses with respect to the causes of action alleged in
4 the Complaint, without assuming the burden of proof or persuasion where such burden rests on
5 the FTC. Black Knight has not knowingly or intentionally waived any applicable defenses, and it
6 reserves the right to assert and rely upon other applicable defenses that may become available or
7 apparent throughout the course of the action. Black Knight reserves the right to amend, or seek to
8 amend, its Answer, including its affirmative and other defenses.

9 **FIRST DEFENSE**

10 **(Structure of Proceedings Violates Due Process)**

11 The structure of the related administrative proceedings, in which the Commission both
12 initiates and finally adjudicates the Complaint against Black Knight, having prejudged the merits
13 of the action, violates Black Knight's Fifth Amendment Due Process right to adjudication before
14 a neutral arbiter.

15 **SECOND DEFENSE**

16 **(Commission's Procedures Violate Due Process)**

17 The Commission's procedures violate Black Knight's right to procedural due process
18 under the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment.

19 **THIRD DEFENSE**

20 **(Commission's Procedures Violate Equal Protection Clause)**

21 The Commission's procedures arbitrarily subject Black Knight to administrative
22 proceedings rather than to proceedings before an Article III judge in violation of Black Knight's
23 right to Equal Protection under the Fifth Amendment.

24 **FOURTH DEFENSE**

25 **(Constraints on Removal Violate Article II of the Constitution)**

26 The related administrative proceedings are invalid because the constraints on removal of
27 the Commissioners and the Administrative Law Judge violate Article II of the Constitution and
28 the separation of powers.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

FIFTH DEFENSE
(Delegation of Legislative Power Unconstitutional)

The related administrative proceedings are invalid because Congress unconstitutionally delegated legislative power to the Commission by failing to provide an intelligible principle by which the Commission would exercise the delegated power.

SIXTH DEFENSE
(Violation of Fifth Amendment)

Granting the relief sought would constitute a taking of Black Knight’s property in violation of the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution.

SEVENTH DEFENSE
(Violation of Seventh Amendment)

The adjudication of the Complaint against Black Knight through the related administrative proceedings violates Black Knight’s Seventh Amendment right to a jury trial.

EIGHTH DEFENSE
(Violation of Article III of the U.S. Constitution)

The adjudication of the Complaint against Black Knight through the related administrative proceedings adjudicates private rights and therefore violates Article III of the U.S. Constitution and the Seventh Amendment.

NINTH DEFENSE
(Failure to State a Claim)

The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, including, but not limited to, on the basis that: (1) new entrants to the relevant market were (and are) timely, likely, and sufficient to offset any alleged anticompetitive effects of the Transaction; (2) the alleged market definitions fail as a matter of both fact and law; (3) the Complaint fails to allege any plausible harm to competition, consumers, or consumer welfare; (4) the Complaint fails to allege undue share in any plausibly defined relevant market; and (5) any alleged harm to potential competition is not actionable.

1 **COUNTERCLAIMS**

2 Defendant Black Knight, Inc. (“Black Knight”), by and through its undersigned attorneys,
3 seeks the following counterclaims against Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission (“Plaintiff” or
4 “FTC”).

5 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

6 1. Black Knight challenges the unconstitutional structure and processes employed by
7 the FTC to prohibit lawful acquisitions. The FTC possesses the power not only to prosecute
8 cases, but to judge them as well. Yet the FTC’s Administrative Law Judges (“ALJs”) exercise
9 near-total protection from political accountability because they are subject to neither democratic
10 election nor at-will removal by the President. Instead they have “dual layers” of removal
11 protection because they are only removable by Commissioners “for cause,” who, in turn, are only
12 removable by the President “for cause.”

13 2. In the related proceeding instituted against Black Knight, the FTC not only has
14 charged and prosecuted the case but has also appointed an ALJ. And if the FTC disagrees with
15 the ALJ’s ultimate decision on either the facts or the law, the same Commissioners who voted to
16 file the enforcement action against Black Knight have the right under the FTC’s self-serving rules
17 to review these findings de novo and change them. A former FTC Commissioner has described
18 this procedure as an “unhealthy and biased institutional process” that virtually guarantees the
19 agency’s result will stand. *See* Joshua D. Wright, Section 5 Revisited: Time for the FTC to
20 Define the Scope of Its Unfair Methods of Competition Authority at 6 (2015).

21 3. This process also involves the adjudication of private rights, particularly, the
22 parties’ ability to engage in a private commercial transaction and the risk that the parties will have
23 to pay a fine to the FTC. Such an adjudication is the exclusive province of Article III courts,
24 where the parties may also be able to assert their Seventh Amendment right to a trial by jury.

25 4. This Court should declare the FTC’s structure and procedures unconstitutional.
26 And it should enjoin the FTC from subjecting Black Knight to its unfair and unconstitutional
27 internal forum, adjudicating the legality of Intercontinental Exchange’s acquisition of Black
28 Knight in this Article III court instead.

1 **THE PARTIES**

2 5. Counterclaim Defendant FTC is an administrative agency of the United States
3 Government, established, organized, and existing pursuant to the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45, and is
4 authorized under certain circumstances by Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), to
5 initiate court proceedings to enjoin ongoing or imminent violations of any law the FTC enforces.

6 6. Counterclaim Plaintiff Black Knight is a publicly traded corporation incorporated
7 in Delaware, with its headquarters in Jacksonville, Florida. Black Knight provides integrated,
8 high-performance software solutions, data, and analytics to the U.S. mortgage and real estate
9 markets.

10 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

11 7. This action arises under the Constitution and laws of the United States, and this
12 Court has federal question jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Article III of the Constitution
13 and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

14 8. Counterclaim Plaintiff’s right to immediate judicial review in this Court with
15 respect to Counterclaim Defendants’ alleged conduct is based on the Due Process Clause of the
16 Fifth Amendment, Article III of the Constitution, the Seventh Amendment, and the Federal
17 Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201.

18 9. Venue is proper under 5 U.S.C. § 703 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), and (e).

19 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

20 **A. The Constitutional Infirmities Associated with the Government Merger**
21 **Review Process**

22 10. Both the FTC and the Department of Justice (“DOJ”) review mergers and
23 acquisitions that may present substantive antitrust concerns. Section 7 of the Clayton Act,
24 codified at 15 U.S.C. § 18, prohibits mergers and acquisitions where the “effect . . . may be
25 substantially to lessen competition” or “tend to create a monopoly.” As relevant here, the FTC’s
26 authority is based on Section 13(b) of the FTC Act.

27 11. The government employs an impermissibly arbitrary approach to deciding whether
28 the FTC or DOJ will lead a given investigation, despite the consequences that decision carries for

1 regulated parties. DOJ-led proceedings occur in federal court. By contrast, the FTC may pursue
2 proceedings before itself, where an ALJ presides over a hearing lacking the stringent evidentiary
3 and procedural rules of federal court, *see* 16 C.F.R. §§ 3.21-.43. Further, federal courts may
4 apply different standards of review depending on where the case originated. *Compare* Fed. R.
5 Civ. P. 52(a)(6), *with* 15 U.S.C. §§ 21(c), 45(c).

6 12. The FTC's and DOJ's process lacks standards and does not provide citizens with
7 necessary Due Process safeguards. *See Beckles v. United States*, 137 S. Ct. 886, 892 (2017)
8 (government cannot deprive property under law "so standardless that it invites arbitrary
9 enforcement"); *Fuentes v. Shevin*, 407 U.S. 67, 80 (1972). The arbitrary manner in which the
10 FTC and DOJ determine parties' procedural rights also violates Equal Protection, *cf. Williams v.*
11 *Vermont*, 472 U.S. 14, 22-23 (1985) ("arbitrary distinction" among taxpayers violates equal
12 protection), and impermissibly delegates to the agencies legislative powers that belong
13 exclusively to Congress, *cf. Jarkesy v. SEC*, 34 F.4th 446, 459 (5th Cir. 2022) (SEC's ability to
14 assign matters to agency adjudication without intelligible principle violates the nondelegation
15 doctrine). The FTC's discretion to sue in federal court or its own tribunal (or both), afforded it by
16 Congress without the requisite intelligible principle to guide its exercise, likewise violates the
17 nondelegation doctrine. *Mistretta v. United States*, 488 U.S. 361, 372 (1989) (Congress can
18 delegate its Article I legislative powers to another entity only if it provides an "intelligible"
19 principle by which to exercise that power); *Crowell v. Benson*, 285 U.S. 22, 50 (1932) ("[T]he
20 mode of determining" which cases are assigned to administrative tribunals is "completely within
21 congressional control."); *Jarkesy*, 34 F.4th at 462 (providing the SEC with broad "power to
22 decide which defendants should receive *certain legal processes* (those accompanying Article III
23 proceedings)" violated the nondelegation doctrine).

24 13. Moreover, the FTC's internal administrative hearing provides none of the
25 substantive or procedural protections enjoyed by litigants in federal district court. These
26 proceedings are, instead, fraught with Due Process and Equal Protection deficiencies.

- 27 • Federal district court judges are Article III impartial fact-finders who owe no
28 allegiances to the agency. In contrast, any FTC Commissioner (including

1 those who voted to sue Intercontinental Exchange and Black Knight) is
2 permitted to preside over the administrative hearing; and an ALJ appointed and
3 compensated by the FTC will preside.

- 4 • Federal court proceedings are governed by the Federal Rules of Evidence and
5 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Neither apply in FTC administrative
6 proceedings.
- 7 • Litigants in federal court can appeal adverse decisions to impartial circuit court
8 judges. Decisions rendered in FTC administrative proceedings must first be
9 appealed to the same FTC Commissioners who voted to sue the defendant at
10 the outset, raising inherent concerns about proper review and opening the
11 potential for bias to reach an end result.
- 12 • The FTC Commissioners, on appeal, can ignore and completely change the
13 merits decision rendered in the administrative proceedings before the
14 defendant appeals to the circuit court. *See, e.g.,* Opinion of the Commission,
15 *In the Matter of Illumina, Inc., a corporation, and GRAIL, Inc., a corporation,*
16 Docket No. 9401 (April 3, 2023) (opinion of the FTC reversing ALJ’s finding
17 that Complaint Counsel failed to prove that a substantial lessening of
18 competition was probable or imminent).
- 19 • Different appellate standards of review may apply depending on where the
20 case originated. On appeal from agency proceedings, “[t]he findings of the
21 Commission as to the facts, if supported by evidence, shall be conclusive.”
22 15 U.S.C. § 45(c).

23 14. The nature of administrative hearings at the FTC and the limited review of its
24 factual findings on appeal means the results can be preordained. As one court noted, the “FTC
25 has not lost a single case [in administrative proceedings] in the past quarter-century. Even the
26 1972 Miami Dolphins would envy that type of record.” *Axon Enter., Inc. v. FTC*, 986 F.3d 1173,
27 1187 (9th Cir. 2021), *cert. granted in part*, 142 S. Ct. 895 (2022), *and rev’d and remanded*, No.

28

1 21-1239, 2023 WL 2938328 (U.S. Apr. 14, 2023).²

2 15. This kind of discretionary hearing process is exactly what Article III, the Equal
 3 Protection Clause, the Due Process Clause, the nondelegation doctrine, and the Seventh
 4 Amendment were designed to prevent. As the Supreme Court has emphasized, the irreducible
 5 minimum of Due Process is “notice of the factual basis” of the Government’s assertions “and a
 6 fair opportunity to rebut the Government’s factual assertions before a neutral decisionmaker.”
 7 *Hamdi v. Rumsfeld*, 542 U.S. 507, 533 (2004). Indeed, “[p]arties whose rights are to be affected
 8 are entitled to be heard; and in order that they may enjoy that right . . . an opportunity to be heard
 9 must be granted at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner.” *Id.* (quoting *Baldwin v. Hale*,
 10 1 Wall. 223, 233 (1864)) (internal quotation marks omitted). And a “meaningful” hearing, for
 11 purposes of Due Process, “requires a neutral and detached judge.” *Id.* (quoting *Concrete Pipe &*
 12 *Prods. of Cal., Inc. v. Construction Laborers Pension Trust for S. Cal.*, 508 U.S. 602, 617 (1993))
 13 (internal quotation marks omitted). “These essential constitutional promises may not be eroded.”
 14 *Id.* And yet that basic protection—provided to everyone from public employees to enemy
 15 combatants—is denied to companies caught up in a merger challenge pursued by the FTC.

16 **B. The FTC Lacks Political Accountability**

17 16. Article II “vested” all “executive Power” in the President, Art. II, § 1, cl. 1, and
 18 charged the President alone with “tak[ing] Care that the Laws be faithfully executed,” Art. II, § 3.

19 17. As the Supreme Court has explained, the Constitution concentrates executive
 20 power solely in the President because the Framers wanted to “ensure . . . accountability” in the
 21 Executive Branch. *Printz v. United States*, 521 U.S. 898, 922 (1997). They recognized that the
 22 President could not carry out all of his duties alone, and therefore, must be able to delegate some
 23 authority and responsibilities to others. *See* Art. II, § 2, cl. 2 (discussing appointments of superior
 24 and inferior officers); *Myers v. United States*, 272 U.S. 52, 117 (1926) (“[T]he President alone
 25 and unaided could not execute the laws,” and thus must “select those who [are] to act for him
 26 under his direction in the execution of the laws.”).

27 _____
 28 ² This representation by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals was made before ALJ Chappell’s ruling in the
Illumina/Grail matter referenced above. In the most recent instance of the FTC losing a case in an administrative
 proceeding, the Commissioners voted to reverse the ALJ.

1 18. While the President may delegate power, the President must ensure that “the buck
2 stops” with him or her. *Free Enter. Fund v. PCAOB*, 561 U.S. 477, 493 (2010). Accordingly, the
3 Supreme Court has recognized that, “as a general matter,” the President must have the “power to
4 remove” principal officers “who assist him in carrying out his duties.” *Id.* at 513-14. Indeed, if
5 “any power whatsoever is in its nature Executive, it is the power of appointing, overseeing, and
6 controlling those who execute the laws.” *Id.* at 492 (quoting 1 Annals of Cong. 463 (1789)
7 (Joseph 8 Gales ed., 1834) (Madison) (emphasis added)).

8 19. Just as the President’s ability to select administrative officers “is essential to the
9 execution of the laws by him, so must be his power of removing” officers. *Myers*, 272 U.S. at
10 117. That removal power is important to the democratic legitimacy of the Executive Branch in at
11 least two ways. First, it makes officers less likely to deviate from the President’s (and hence, the
12 People’s) will. An officer who knows that disobedience can (and will) be met with removal is
13 less likely to take an action at odds with the President’s agenda. Second, and perhaps more
14 importantly, the removal power gives the People political recourse if they are displeased with the
15 actions taken by those who enforce federal law. Although the People cannot vote for (or against)
16 an Executive officer directly, they can vote for (or against) the President, who bears ultimate
17 responsibility for federal law enforcement. Those two mechanisms for accountability work
18 together to ensure that the Government officials who carry out the work of the Executive Branch
19 do so in a way that reflects the People’s will, and not their own.

20 20. FTC Commissioners, however, are shielded from at-will Presidential removal—
21 and hence from the key mechanism of democratic accountability—in violation of Article II. The
22 FTC is headed by five Commissioners, nominated by the President and confirmed by the Senate,
23 each serving a seven-year term. 15 U.S.C. § 41. But once appointed, the Commissioners are not
24 subject to removal by the President absent a finding of “inefficiency, neglect of duty, or
25 malfeasance in office.” *Id.* This means FTC Commissioners are not politically accountable for
26 their actions.

27 21. The ALJs that initially conduct administrative proceedings receive an additional
28 layer of protection from Presidential removal. FTC-appointed ALJs can also only be removed for

1 “good cause” in accordance with statutory procedures. 5 U.S.C. §§ 7521(a), (b)(1). This creates a
2 dual layer of protection for these ALJs. The Supreme Court has uniformly held that such dual-
3 layered protection is unconstitutional. *See Free Enter. Fund*, 561 U.S. at 495 (holding
4 unconstitutional similar multi-layer tenure protection where Board members appointed by SEC
5 could only be removed by those Commissioners, not the President, for cause); *Seila Law LLC v.*
6 *CFPB*, 140 S. Ct. 2183, 2192 (2020) (finding that the removal restrictions on the director of the
7 CFPB violated Article II of the Constitution).

8 22. The result is that crucial law enforcement actions, sometimes with massive
9 consequences for the American economy, are currently taken by individuals not elected by the
10 People, and not controlled by the President. That runs directly contrary to Article II and the
11 democratic principles underlying the Constitution.

12 **C. The FTC Adjudicates Private Rights with Limited Article III Judicial**
13 **Review and No Trials by Jury**

14 23. Article III provides that the judicial power of the United States is vested “in one
15 supreme Court, and in such inferior Courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and
16 establish.” Art. III, § 1. “Consequently, Congress cannot confer the Government’s ‘judicial
17 Power’ on entities outside Article III.” *Oil States Energy Servs., LLC v. Greene’s Energy Grp.,*
18 *LLC*, 138 S. Ct. 1365, 1372-73 (2018) (citing *Stern v. Marshall*, 564 U.S. 462, 484 (2011)).
19 Specifically, “Congress may not withdraw from judicial cognizance any matter which, from its
20 nature, is the subject of a suit at the common law, or in equity, or admiralty.” *Stern*, 564 U.S. at
21 484 (internal quotation marks omitted).

22 24. To determine if an entity is improperly exercising the “judicial power,” courts
23 distinguish between public and private rights, with private rights requiring adjudication by Article
24 III courts. *Id.* at 495. Private rights were historically understood to include “rights to life, liberty,
25 and property, . . . the three absolute rights . . . so called because they appertain and belong to
26 particular men . . . merely as individuals, not to them as members of society or standing in various
27 relations to each other—that is, not dependent upon the will of the government.” *Wellness Int’l*
28 *Network, Ltd. v. Sharif*, 575 U.S. 665, 713-714 (2015) (Thomas, J., dissenting) (quoting 1 W.

1 Blackstone, Commentaries on the Laws of England 119 (1765)) (cleaned up).

2 25. As part of its administrative proceedings, the FTC determines the rights of parties
3 to engage in private transactions. This directly regulates the right of parties to use their property
4 and therefore their private rights as historically understood. Moreover, an FTC order in these
5 administrative proceedings brings with it the possibility of future civil penalties. 15 U.S.C.
6 § 45(l). Civil penalties require a party to surrender its property and so also implicate “private
7 rights.” *See Tull v. United States*, 481 U.S. 412, 422 (1987) (“A civil penalty was a type of
8 remedy at common law that could only be enforced in courts of law.”).

9 26. Although FTC actions are technically subject to judicial review, that review is
10 limited. The FTC Act provides that “the findings of the Commission as to the facts, if supported
11 by evidence, shall be conclusive” in federal court. 15 U.S.C. § 45(c). And review of these
12 proceedings is typically restricted to “the court of appeals of the United States, within any circuit
13 where the method of competition or the act or practice in question was used or where such
14 person, partnership, or corporation resides or carries on business.” *Id.*

15 27. The FTC review process also includes no right for a regulated defendant to receive
16 a trial by a jury. The Seventh Amendment explicitly provides this right “in Suits at common law,
17 where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars.” This includes actions “analogous to
18 Suits at common law.” *Tull*, 481 U.S. at 417 (internal quotation marks omitted).

19 28. The FTC’s actions in its administrative proceedings that implicate the rights of
20 parties to engage in private commercial transactions and create the possibility of civil penalties
21 are analogous to actions at common law and so implicate parties’ Seventh Amendment rights.

22 29. The combination of this limited judicial review and the substantial adjudication of
23 private rights that occurs in the FTC’s administrative process violates Article III of the
24 Constitution because the FTC is wielding power that is in the exclusive domain of the federal
25 courts. Additionally, the failure to provide parties to these proceedings with a right to a jury trial
26 violates the Seventh Amendment.

27
28

1 10, 2023, to file a complaint with this Court.

2 38. The FTC's complaint in this action is in aid of the administrative proceedings. It
3 seeks to preliminarily enjoin the acquisition.

4 **COUNT I**

5 **(Violation of Black Knight's Constitutional Rights—Declaratory and Injunctive Relief)**

6 39. Black Knight restates and incorporates by reference each and every allegation of
7 the preceding paragraphs.

8 40. The ongoing administrative proceeding, in which the FTC will act as prosecutor,
9 judge, and jury violates several of Black Knight's constitutional rights.

10 41. It violates Black Knight's Due Process rights by, among other things, arbitrarily
11 subjecting Black Knight to the FTC's administrative processes and depriving Black Knight of the
12 ability to make its case before a neutral arbiter.

13 42. By arbitrarily subjecting Black Knight to unfair procedures before an
14 administrative body, rather than to a fair trial before a neutral judge appointed in accordance with
15 Article III of the Constitution with the procedural protections of a federal court, the FTC has also
16 violated Black Knight's Equal Protection rights.

17 43. The FTC's actions separately violate Black Knight's constitutional rights because
18 the agency's structure, on its face, is unconstitutional under Article II. In particular, the ALJ
19 appointed by the FTC has an impermissible dual-layer of insulation from removal. Because the
20 agency's structure violates Article II, any actions taken against Black Knight under its present
21 structure are invalid.

22 44. Additionally, in this administrative proceeding, the FTC is adjudicating Black
23 Knight's private rights. Such an adjudication is improper and is the exclusive province of a court
24 established by Article III of the Constitution.

25 45. Moreover, the adjudication of Black Knight's substantial private rights in an action
26 analogous to common law actions without a jury is unlawful under the Seventh Amendment to
27 the Constitution.

28

1 46. Moreover, by failing to provide a clear principle to the FTC and DOJ to determine
2 which agency would investigate and prosecute a transaction under the antitrust laws, Congress
3 unconstitutionally delegated its legislative power to the agencies, in violation of the
4 nondelegation doctrine. So too Congress's provision to the FTC the power to sue in federal or
5 administrative court.

6 47. The Commission's conduct has caused and will continue to cause Black Knight to
7 suffer immediate and irreparable harm to its Constitutional rights. No money damages can
8 remedy this harm, and Black Knight has no legal avenue by which to recover any money damages
9 against the Commission. The FTC's administrative proceeding is not speculative. It is happening
10 and ongoing. Further, the FTC's suit in this Court is in aid of this administrative proceeding.

11 48. These violations of its constitutional rights entitle Black Knight to declaratory
12 relief under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201, as well as injunctive relief against
13 the continuation of the FTC's administrative proceeding.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

