## **Attachment C**

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
Plaintiff,	) CV No. 17-2511
VS.	) Washington, D.C. ) December 7, 2017 ) 2:30 p.m.
AT&T, INC. ET AL.,	) )
Defendants.	) ) )

TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD J. LEON UNITED STATES SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

## APPEARANCES:

For the Government: Craig W. Conrath

Eric D. Welsh Jared A. Hughes

Peter J. Schwingler

Lauren Riker Lisa Scanlon Donald G. Kempf

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division 450 Fifth Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20530

(202) 532-4560

craig.conrath@usdoj.gov
eric.welsh@usdoj.gov
jared.hughes@usdoj.gov

Peter.schwingler@usdoj.gov

order. I've reached my conclusion on the date, and it was based upon both sides' arguments in the pleadings, which are extensive; a fair consideration of the burden, which is considerable to both sides and to the Court, certainly up to a point; and my existing calendar.

2.2

So as it turns out, I have a six- to eight-week criminal trial in a complex white-collar case starting in April. And I have a two- to three-week criminal trial in January. So it had to be sandwiched in between those two. So the trial date I've picked is March 19th.

Now, what I would ask the parties to do is a couple of things. First and foremost, reconstitute a proposed scheduling order. Confer with one another, which you have been doing, which is great, and come up with a reconstituted, proposed scheduling order, with that as the first day of the trial.

Build into that scheduling order the various junctures along the road that you believe we just have to do. You know, build them into the whole process.

The big ask is to this side. If that trial goes three weeks, which is the guesstimate -- and it's only a guesstimate. We all know from experience that trials have a way of expanding, sometimes contracting, but expanding many times.

That trial goes -- say it goes three weeks, as you

crystal-ball it. That's really April, April 6th. We're going to have post-trial motions. We might have to have post-trial arguments.

2.2

Getting an opinion out on April 22nd is not happening. It can't be done. It's not humanly possible.

I have to write an opinion. And based on a lot of experience, you don't crank out a 100-plus-page opinion on a matter of this consequence in a couple of weeks. We don't even have time in there built in for the post-trial motions.

So the defendants are going to have to talk to their clients about this drop-dead date. Extend it 60 days, maybe 90, whatever. But build some time into this so that I can do my job post trial, keeping in mind that starting April 16th, I have a six- to eight-week, complex white-collar criminal trial that I really am not in a position to reschedule at the moment.

So it's going to get a little crazy around here, from my perspective, in March, April, and May. It's going to be pretty tough.

We've done that before in *McConnell* and *Fannie Mae* securities and *Boumediene* and a bunch of other big cases.

We know how to do that. We can do it. It's just that it's going to be really rough.

So I'm putting the onus on you all to use your good offices and your efforts to try to keep it as

efficient, smooth, and moving it forward as quickly as possible so we can get you the final decision sometime in that April/May time frame. I mean, that's the goal.

2.2

April 22nd is not realistic, not with a start date of a trial in mid-March. It just can't be done. So that's important for you all to be thinking about and talking to your clients about and seeing what you can do to make some adjustments in that regard. Okay?

I don't know what's going to happen in terms of the motions. I can tell you from a 10,000-foot perspective, we're going to try to keep it to a minimum.

I was interested in and actually happy to see that neither side requested a Special Master. I'm not naturally inclined, although I can do it, to bring in a third party in between me and the lawyers, whether it be a magistrate judge or a Special Master.

I'm planning on having status hearings every two weeks. The next one will be in two weeks. And we'll deal with whatever we're dealing with at that point in time. We're going to have regular status hearings. This is that important a matter for all of us to be done and be done right and to be done efficiently.

So we're going to do that. And I'm going to, in my case management order, have a specific requirement for premotion conferences with the Court. The parties will be