## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

KEMP & ASSOCIATES, INC. AND DANIEL J. MANNIX

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF RICHARD F. ALBERT, ESQ.

Case No. 2:16-cr-403-DS

U.S. District Judge David Sam Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

RICHARD F. ALBERT, hereby declares pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, as follows:

- 1. I am a principal of the law firm Morvillo Abramowitz Grand Iason & Anello, P.C., attorneys for the Defendant Daniel Mannix in the above-captioned matter. I am admitted *pro hac vice* to this Court for this case. I submit this declaration in support of both Defendants' motion for an order ruling that this case be subject to Sherman Act rule of reason analysis, and to dismiss the Indictment, for the purpose of providing the Court with certain materials referenced in the accompanying Motion and Memorandum of Law.
  - 2. Attached as Exhibit A is a copy of the indictment filed in this case on August 17, 2016.
- 3. Attached as Exhibit B is a copy of a document bearing bates number BBFL20141215 001 through 002, representing an email chain dated May 22 and 23, 2000, produced by the government in discovery in this case.
- 4. Attached as Exhibit C is a copy of a document bearing bates number BBMA-00010159, representing a fee sharing agreement for the Estate of Margaret Rubinacci produced by the government in discovery in this case.

Case 2:16-cr-00403-DS Document 108 Filed 01/04/18 Page 2 of 30

5. Attached as Exhibit D is a copy of a document bearing bates number KDM00013547,

representing an email dated July 30, 2008 produced by the government in discovery in this case (and

originally produced to the government by Kemp & Associates, Inc.).

6. Attached as Exhibit E is a copy of the letter dated December 23, 2016 provided by the

government in response to a defense request for a bill of particulars. Attachment A to the letter contains a

list prepared by the government of "Estates Affected by the Charged Conspiracy."

7. Attached as Exhibit F are copies of documents bearing bates numbers BBMA-00041112,

KEMP 00010413 and BBMA-00007417, representing, respectively, fee sharing agreements for the Estates

of Russel G. Schoelkopf, Joseph Merlo and Elsie MacNeal, produced by the government in discovery (and

KEMP 00010413 was originally produced to the government by Kemp & Associates, Inc.).

8. Attached as Exhibit G are copies of documents bearing bates numbers CVL-ARC-0033539

and BBMA-00043624, representing, respectively, emails concerning the Estate of Sorkness and the Estate

of Komarek.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on: March 31, 2017

/s/ Richard F. Albert

Richard F. Albert, Esq.

2

## Exhibit A

JOHN W. HUBER, United States Attorney (#7226) JACOB J. STRAIN, Assistant United States Attorney (#12680) 185 South State Street, Ste. 300 • Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 Telephone: (801) 524-5682 • Facsimile: (801) 325-3387 U.S. DISTRICT COURT
2016 AUG 17 9 1:08

DISTRICT OF UTAH

KALINA M. TULLEY, Asst. Chief, Antitrust Division, U.S. Dept. of Justice (IIB 6210304)
ROBERT M. JACOBS, Trial Attorney, Antitrust Division, U.S. Dept. of Justice (IID 6289878)
RUBEN MARTINEZ, JR., Trial Attorney, Antitrust Division, U.S. Dept. of Justice (TX 24052278)

MOLLY A. KELLEY, Trial Attorney, Antitrust Division, U.S. Dept. of Justice (IL 6303678)

Attorneys for the United States of America

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

KEMP & ASSOCIATES, INC. and DANIEL J. MANNIX

Defendants.

INDICTMENT

15 U.S.C. § 1 (Antitrust)(Count 1)

Case: 2:16-cr-00403 Assigned To : Sam, David Assign. Date : 8/17/2016

Description: USA v.

The Grand Jury charges that:

I.

#### **DEFENDANTS AND CO-CONSPIRATORS**

At times relevant to this Indictment:

1. Defendant KEMP & ASSOCIATES, INC. was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Utah, with its principal place of business in Salt Lake City, Utah. KEMP & ASSOCIATES, INC. was a provider of Heir Location Services (as defined in Paragraph 6) in the United States.

- Defendant DANIEL J. MANNIX was a resident of Draper, Utah. At various times, MANNIX was employed by KEMP & ASSOCIATES, INC. as Director of Operations, Estate Researcher, and Vice President/COO.
- 3. Another corporation and other individuals, not made defendants in this Indictment, participated as co-conspirators in the offense charged in this Indictment and performed acts and made statements in furtherance thereof.
- 4. Whenever in this Indictment reference is made to any act, deed or transaction of any corporation, the allegation means that the corporation engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by or through its officers, directors, agents, employees, or other representatives while they were actively engaged in the management, direction, control or transaction of its business or affairs.

#### II.

#### **BACKGROUND**

- During the period covered by this Indictment, the Defendants and their coconspirators sold Heir Location Services in the United States.
- 6. Providers of Heir Location Services identify heirs to estates of intestate decedents and, in exchange for a contingency fee, develop evidence and prove heirs' claims to an inheritance in probate court.
- 7. Potential heirs who have yet to contract with, and thus become the customer of, an Heir Location Services provider may receive offers from one or more such providers. Providers may distinguish their offers from those of competitors by offering more attractive contingency fee rates. The complexity of the estate, the determinability and number of heirs to the estate, and the law that governs the estate are factors that affect when heirs receive their final distribution, which can take up to five or more years.

#### III.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE OFFENSE

#### Count 1 15 U.S.C. § 1 (Antitrust)

- 8. The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 7 above are incorporated herein by reference and realleged as though fully set forth herein.
- 9. Beginning as early as September 1999 and continuing as late as January 29, 2014, the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, in the District of Utah and elsewhere, Defendants knowingly entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy with Richard A. Blake, Jr., and other unindicted co-conspirators to suppress and eliminate competition by agreeing to allocate customers of Heir Location Services sold in the United States. The combination and conspiracy engaged in by the Defendants and their co-conspirators was in unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and commerce in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1).
- 10. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among the Defendants and their co-conspirators, the substantial terms of which were to allocate customers of Heir Location Services sold in the United States.

#### IV.

#### MEANS AND METHODS OF THE CONSPIRACY

- 11. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the combination and conspiracy alleged in this Indictment, the Defendants and their co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired to do, including, among other things:
  - (a) participated in conversations and other communications to discuss

- methods for allocating heirs of estates in the United States;
- (b) agreed, during those conversations and other communications, that when both co-conspirator companies contacted the same unsigned heir to an estate, the co-conspirator company that first contacted that heir would be allocated certain remaining heirs to that estate who had yet to sign a contract with an Heir Location Services provider;
- (c) agreed that the co-conspirator company to which heirs were allocated would pay to the other co-conspirator company a portion of the contingency fees ultimately collected from those allocated heirs;
- (d) provided notice to the co-conspirator company that first contacted the unsigned heir that the other co-conspirator company had subsequently contacted that heir, in order to effectuate the agreement;
- (e) memorialized on an estate-by-estate basis the terms of the heir allocation and contingency fee split agreement between the co-conspirators as these terms applied to the specific estate;
- (f) made payments to the co-conspirator company, and received payments from the co-conspirator company, in order to effectuate the agreement;
- (g) submitted offers to provide Heir Location Services, which included contingency fee rate quotations, to potential heirs, and refrained from submitting offers and quotations to potential heirs, in accordance with the agreement;
- (h) sold Heir Location Services in the United States at collusive and noncompetitive contingency fee rates;

- (i) accepted payment for Heir Location Services sold to heirs in the United States at collusive and noncompetitive contingency fee rates; and
- engaged in conversations and other communications for the purpose of (j) monitoring and enforcing adherence to the conspiracy.

V.

#### TRADE AND COMMERCE

- 12. During the period covered by this Indictment, the Defendants and their coconspirators sold Heir Location Services that were subject to the charged conspiracy to heirs in the United States in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate trade and commerce. Defendants and their co-conspirators solicited, and sold such services to, heirs located in states other than where the Defendant and co-conspirators were located and other than where the probate courts administering the affected estates were located. Substantial payments for the Heir Location Services and distributions of substantial proceeds from affected estates as well as contracts, invoices, and other forms of business, financial, and legal records and documents related to the Heir Location Services traveled in interstate commerce.
- During the period covered by this Indictment, the business activities of the 13. Defendants and their co-conspirators in connection with the sale and provision of Heir Location Services that are the subject of this Indictment were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate commerce.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

Dated: Ay 17, 2016 A TRUE BILL:

Foreperson of the Grand Jury

JOHN W. HUBER United States Attorney

ACOB J. STRAIN

Assistant United States Attorney

RENATA B. HESSE

Acting Assistant Attorney General

PRANK J. VONDRAK Chief, Chicago Office

BRENT SNYDE

17

1.54

Deputy Assistant Attorney General

MARVIN N. PRICE, JR.

U.S. Department of Justice

**Antitrust Division** 

Director of Criminal Enforcement

KALINA M. TULLEY, IL Bar No. 6216304

**Assistant Chief** 

ROBERT M. JACOBS, IL Bar No. 6289819

RUBEN MARTINEZ, JR., TX Bar No. 24052278

MOLLY A. KELLEY, IL Bar No. 6303678

Trial Attorneys

U.S. Department of Justice

Antitrust Division

Chicago Office

209 S. LaSalle Street, Suite 600

Chicago, IL 60604

Tel.: (312) 984-7200

6

## Exhibit B

11/19/2002 13:17 FAX 781 848 9794

BLAKE & BLAKE

→ G SCHIEFERSTIN

Ø1001/002

Page 1 of 2

#### Joie Blake

cliff@blakeandblake.com [cvonlangen@bestnetpc.com]

Tuesday, May 23, 2000 8:52 AM Sent:

Daniel J. Mannix To:

Cc; Rick Blake; Jole Blake; Gary R. Schieferstin; Dick Blake

Subject: Re: Guidelines

Blake & Blake agrees to incorporate the guidelines below regarding all new cases that we discuss from this day forward.

---- Original Message ---From: Daniel J. Mannix
To: Rick Blake; Gary R. Schieferstin; Clift von Langen
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2000 5:59 PM

Subject: Guidelines

Kemp & Associates agrees to incorporate the guidelines below regarding all new cases that we discuss from this day forward.

#### GUIDELINES

- 1. If company A contacts an unsigned heir that has been hit by company B then company A contacts company B and splits the case. However, company B will get to keep the full value of assignments that are in their hand or are dated prior to the date that company A calls.
- -The reasoning here is that each company should be able to keep all heirs that they have signed whether in hand or in the mail.
- 2. This whole process is initiated when company A contacts an <u>unsign</u>ed heir, or if any company con contact the other and offer reasons why they should talk about a case, but if they have not spoken to an unsigned heir yet, the contacted company is under no obligation to discuss the case.
- 3. The process above should apply per moiety or company A can take one moiety and company B takes the other and we split the case 50/50.
- 4. The split should be 55-45 with attorney coming off the top and the company that does the signing and documenting getting the 55% share. The company that has more expenses and does more work gets paid more.
- 5. In the case of smaller estates, defined as under \$75,000 with no heirs and under \$150,000 with known heirs, the fee split will be 2/3 - 1/3 with the company doing the work receiving the larger share. This value will be applied to the amount of the estate distribution, not the petition value. The fee split on any case that distributes above the minimum fees stated above, will be split 55/45
- 6. The following scenarios apply to these guidelines:
  - A) Company A contacts Company B and they agree to a fee split. After the agreement, should any company find superior heirs (ie: nieces & nephews on a cousin case) the agreement still

5/23/00

11/19/2002 13:18 FAX 781 848 9794

BLAKE & BLAKE

- G SCHIEFERSTIN

Ø1002/002

Page 2 of 2

- B) Company B locates cousins and sends agreements. Subsequently, Company B finds superior heirs. Then Company A contacts the unsigned cousins and requests a fee split. At that point, Company B advises Company A that the cousins are inferior heirs and rejects the split. Should Company A later locate any of the correct heirs who are unsigned, they may call Company B again to request a split.
- C) Company A locates cousins. Company B locates superior heirs. There is no contact between the companies since they located different sets of heirs. Case belongs to Company B.
- 7. All of the above is subject to review and change if both parties agree upon said changes.

#### VERIFICATION

Guideline #1 When a split has been initiated and Company B is claiming exclusive rights to the heirs that they signed prior to the date that Company A contacted an unsigned heir, then Company A may request copies of those assignments to be faxed to them a week later.

Guideline #2 When Company A contacts an heir that Company B has contacted and when said heir is unsigned Company A must then provide the heir's name, address, & phone number in order to initiate the split process.

# Exhibit C

## KEMP & ASSOCIATES, INC

2677 PARLEYS WAY, SALT LAKE CITY, LITAH 84109 (BD1) 532-5800 = FAX: (BD1) 532-5803 WWW.KEMPANDASSOCIATES.COM

August 14, 2007

Atm: Rick Blake

Fax: 781-848-9794

From: James Kohout

Phone: 801-532-5843 ext. 137

Fax: 801-532-5803

Re: Estate of Margaret Rubinacci

Total Number of Pages: 1

#### Rick:

This will serve to confirm that we will split the paternal moiety of the Margaret Rubinacci estate 60/40. The 5% attorney fee will be deducted from the gross fee and each of our firms will be responsible for our own correspondent and reporter fees. Kemp & Associates will receive 60% for completing all necessary work while Blake & Blake will receive 40%. Kemp & Associates shall retain full value for any assignments dated on or before August 13, 2007.

James T. Kohout

INTERNATIONAL PROBATE RESEARCH

## Exhibit D

#### Case 2:16-cr-00403-DS Document 108 Filed 01/04/18 Page 16 of 30

#### Message

From: Dan Mannix [DMannix@kempandassociates.com]

Sent: 7/30/2008 5:07:44 PM

To: John N. Bergquist [jbergquist@kempandassociates.com]; Brian Kraus [bkraus@kempandassociates.com]; Derek A.

Gersdorf [dgersdorf@kempandassociates.com]; Barbara S. Williams [bwilliams@kempandassociates.com]; Robert Barrett [rbarrett@kempandassociates.com]; John S. Barrett [jbarrett@kempandassociates.com]; Keith R. Hunsaker

[khunsaker@kempandassociates.com]; Jeff Kemp [jkemp@kempandassociates.com]

Subject: B&B

Lady & Gentlemen,

The "formal" agreement that we have had with B&B for the last decade is over. If necessary, we will continue to discuss cases when it makes sense. We will attempt to observe what is considered the industry standard of 1/3 whenever possible.

Thank you to everyone for your input and support for this move. I believe it is long past due to end our "official" ties to this crew. If you have any questions or wish to talk about this further, as always, I am free to discuss any aspect of this situation.

In a related note, I will be leaving at midnight:01 tonight to go see Fraser, so if you wish to speak about this now, time is an element.

I will be returning to the office on Friday August 8 and I would therefore appreciate it if everyone can pitch in on a few items in my absence. Please send all solves & cleans (with # of heirs signed, %'s CTN, etc.) to my e-mail while I'm gone. I will be checking e-mail, so I can communicate in that manner. Keith will be in the office next week and he will be taking cases. Brian & Barbara will take cases whenever Keith is indisposed.

Thanks again for your cooperation concerning all of the above.

Sincerely,

Dan

# Exhibit E



#### U.S. Department of Justice

#### Antitrust Division

Chicago Office

Rookery Building 209 South LaSalle Street, Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60604 312/984-7200

FAX 312/984-7299

December 23, 2016

#### EMAIL AND FEDERAL EXPRESS

James A. Mitchell, Esq. Michael J. Grudberg, Esq. Ballard Spahr LLP 919 Third Avenue, 37<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10022

Richard F. Albert, Esq. Miriam Glaser, Esq Morvillo Abramowitz Grand Iason & Anello PC 565 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10017

Re: U.S. v. Kemp & Associates, Inc., et al., No. 2:16-cr-00403-DS

#### Dear Counsel:

Per your request for particular information in your letter dated October 28, 2016, the United States is providing the following disclosures:

Attachment A - Estates affected by the charged conspiracy; and

Attachment B - Coconspirators.

Please note that we reserve the right to amend or supplement these disclosures if appropriate.

Sincerely,

Robert Jacobs

Enclosures

## Attachment A: Estates Affected by the Charged Conspiracy (v.2016/12/23)

STERN, NATHAN NEITZCE, ALBERT SQUIRE, GRACE H GREEN, ELEANOR FAYE WEICHMAN, JUNE L JELINEK, CARL HESSINGER, ANNE B. KELLER, ELMA MAE HUNT, MILDRED A. BUCK, CHARLES WEIHMILLER, DORIS FOX, CHESTER H. TRIVETT, VIVIAN VALADA MCCLAIN, DOROTHY NODGE, JOSEPH FRANCIS JR SWEEDER, STEPHANIE WEISENBERG, CHERIE BLISS, JOHN A. DARE, MADELYN G. SHAFFER, RUTH B WEBER, OLGA SILLIAN HUSSONG, GRACE M. UNDERCOFFLER, CHARLES JOHNSON, WALTER BETTE, CHARLES BEIDLER, HELEN E TANSKI, MARGARET CRAMER, CLINTON F., JR. MCLAREN, GRACE FOWLER WAGAR, EDWARD E KRAMER, EDNA J HUNTLEY, ALBERT KERR, MARGARET J. RUDY, GEORGE V GRAINGER, MARY SWIHART, HARRY JAMES CURTIS, CLIFTON B COLSON, MARY E. PERRITTE, JAMES M. WESTOCK, WILLIAM F. MEKLAND, AMELIA SIEBER PANNY, STEVEN FRANK MILLER, WILLIAM F., JR. MONAHAN, ROGER GANN, DOROTHY L. NEWMAN, MILDRED F. SCHOENHOLTZ, ALICE

EWING, DICK

DRAEGER, DUANE SWARTFIGURE, MILDRED R. TANZER, RICHARD F. TOUTON, RUSH DONWELL JR EBY, WILBUR E. MAKOWSKI, STANLEY J. CLEMENTZ, GLADYS A HELFAND, STEPHAN A. WYATT, LORETTA SHARON REID, DEBRA A. HUFFER, CHARLES MATTHEW FARRELL, RICHARD J. MONTEITH, BETTY MAE MACK, THOMAS J. WEDEN, ARTHUR A. SACHEK, JOESPH J. HEIDELMAN, DANIEL J. GILL, JAMES E. DEVAUGHN, EDWARD B. JOHNSTON, CLARENCE L LAZARUS, CLAIRE BECKWITH III, JASON ALFRED ROSENZWEIG, ABE SCHUG, BRUCE R. SAYRE, ELEANOR F. HOAG, CHARLES J. CHASTAIN, ERCIE QUINN, RUTH ELLEN LASER, BRYAN E. SCHULTZ, JEROME ROGERS WALDRON, ALBERT V. ELLIS, GERTRUDE MARIAN, RICHARD JOSEPH SPINNER, SHELDON SESSINE, LEON V TURNER, MARGARET FANELLI, LOU WATSON MCCOY MULLER, ADELAIDE A. WHINYATES, FRANCES BELLAH, JOAN TOPOR, STANLEY J. MEZZETTI, PAUL JOSEPH CRAWFORD, JAY MARKS MEAD, MARILYN BERGER VILLOCHI, LUCIA BREWER, JOHN ROBERT CAMERON, FLORENCE D. BASTIEN, ARTHUR E.

FIELDS, LOUISE TERESA LOWE, CLIFFORD JOSLIN, PAULINE EDGINGTON, ROBERT BAXTER FRAZER, MYRTLE E. MORROW, ANNA DOROTHY PRENDERGAST, HARRY T. SHEEHAN, EMILY P. EDWARDS, WILSON VANWYK, DONALD J. GUISE, EDWARD DUMBRAUSKAS, ANTHONY B. OLIVER, SUSAN N. ORMSBY, GEORGE MARTIN BREUER, HEDWIG HALL, MARY ROSE JAEGER, HELEN MULLIN, RAYMOND J. PAYTON, HELEN LLEWELLYN, PHYLLIS M. CARR, MARGARET KNODT, MARIAN GOSS, MARGARET M. NEAL, DONALD C. ENGLE, HERMAN J. BRAUN, KATHY A. JANCA, ESTHER A. CAUGHEY, GEORGE J., JR. KOZUBAL, LAWRENCE J. ALLISON, ELIJAH LUTSKO, ANNA B. PATTERSON, HOYT BLAKE HEIM, LOUIS J, III GRISSETTE, JEAN CLAIRE PON, WU WIDMAN, AUDREY J. RAGLAND, CHRISTINE NICHOLS, RICHARD WALTER KORAK, IRENE MCALPIN, JOHN WILHELM, ROBERT A. PARKER, ANTHONY K. ROSDAHL, HARRISON L HOOKER, JACK GILMARTIN, PHYLLIS KREISLER, RUTH KELLMAN, GRACE C. FREEDMAN, WILLIAM SCHLECHTIGER, CHARLES

FEDDEN, HELEN

RUBLACK, ADELE F.

WOODALL, GEORGE WASHINGTON Jr. EISBART, MOLLIE WHITE, GERALDINE TOMASITS, EDWARD C., JR. WAGNER, ROGER HALLER, LINCOLN P. BROWN, DALE ALLEN, DORIS I. BARBEN, HELENA M. KNIPPER, LILLLIAN MAE LITTLE WITMER, NARCISSUS B. BARTHOLOMEW, PHYLLIS JURCZYNSKI, FLORENCE ROBISON, JAMES P. FORKO, FRANCES M. PIERCE, NINA M. BOCHONOK, ANASTAZIA STARUN, HELEN BACHRAN, MELVIN M. BAGLEY, JAMES LESTINE SCHLANSKY, FRED S. RAWLINS, JOAN AKA GAROFALO MURRAY, LAURENCE A. CLANCY, DENNIS J. EHRENHARDT, WILLIAM JONES, LOUISE TALLON, VIRGINIA M. HAUN, EVELYN RUTH HANEY, ROBERT W. LEONE, JOSEPH ELLIG, ERNEST FRANCIS PERRY, WILLIAM R. ZACHAREWICZ, PAUL V. HUGHES, MARY T. ROBERTS, ELEANOR GLENNE STRATTON, LEONARD LAU, RUTH DELASHUMUTT, RAYMOND E. HESSIAN, JOHN E. WOLCOTT, LEE L. MARANOS, DIANE CHLEBOWSKI, RICHARD MCCARTHY, WILLIAM JOSEPH BERNDT, RICHARD NELSON, MAE IRENE RICHARD, KERMELLE C. VAIL, DOLORES SCHILL, CARL E. SACKMAN, GEORGE W. ZIEGLER, EILEEN KOLAT, KENNETH

SAILER, KESTER H. SAMULSKI, EDNA MACOMBER, DOUGLAS NELSON, LILLIAN BALDASSARE, JEFFREY G. KOMAREK, JOSEPH REISBACK, THELMA F. NELSON, HELEN K. SHARP, WILBUR LOUIS, JR. VOLCART, JOHN P. CAMPBELL, WILLIAM KRIEGER, MILDRED MILLER, ELSI YEE SULLIVAN, MICHAEL F. HILL, NORMAN EDWARD BURNIE, NANCY BARNES COUHIG, PATRICIA SMITH, CHARLES W, JR. MOOSMAN, ELSIE ROSE BARAN, EDWARD A. RHODES, ROBERT D. VANELLI, FRANCES CATHERINE LACAPRA, ANTHONY J. ADAMS, JEAN W. PIEKARSKI, M. ALPHONSINE LARSON, JOHN BENTHAM, DENNIS SENIA, BARTON MORAN, DOROTHY BELL, WAYNE T. KARAMOL, ROBERT J. ARENA, GLORIA V. WISSLER, JACOB S. JR. STERN, SHELDON LEE, ROBERT E. MCNARY, MARY A. RAINES, ROBERT H. ANDERSEN, NANCY CORLIES, JANET M. HANNON, FRANCIS T. GOERTZEN, ROBERT GARVIN KUNDROTAS, HAROLD LAMB, DONALD PATTON CLOWE, TERRENCE NINA, DOROTHY P. BEREZOWSKY, ANNA BROTSCHOL, IRENE HUMES, RALPH C. RUBINACCI, MARGARET LABUDA, PENELOPE

LAURSEN, VIRGINIA

BAYER, DAVID VALENTINE PFISTER, GERHARD POTTS, ROBERT E. WYLES, HENRY EDMUND WILLIAMS, JANET LOUISE KRUSH, HELEN DAVINO, ROBERTA S. BARNES, THOMAS E. SHAWCROSS, ROBERT E. SWEENEY, NINA NIVEN, MARY SCHOELKOPF, RUSSELL GERALD MERLO, JOSEPH MACNEAL, ELSIE HURSTAK, HOWARD SORKNESS, ARDELLE M. WORSTER, VIRGINIA KEATING, GEORGE S. WILSON, JOANN MARY SCHNELL, MARGARET R.

#### Attachment B: Coconspirators in the Charged Conspiracy (v.2016/12/23)

# **Individuals** First Name Last Name Blake, Jr. Richard Mannix Daniel

<u>En</u>	titi	es
CONTRACTO		1000

Name	State of Incorporation
Kemp & Associates, Inc.	Utah

# Exhibit F

\* KEMP ASSOCIATES 2001

P. 01/01

### BLAKE & BLAKE GENEALOGISTS

TEL 781.848.9235

	BLAKEANDBLAKE.COM	
FACSIMIL	E TRANSMITTAL SHEET	-
To: Dan Mannix	rком; Rick Blake	-
COMPANY: Kemp & Ansociates	DATE: 5/16/2008	_
801-532-5803	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:	_
PHONE NUMBER:	Skyder a reperence number:	_
Estate of Russell G. Schoelkopf	YOUR REFERENCE NUMBER	_
DURGENT DPORRBVIRW DPLEAS	BE COMMENT   PLEASE REFLY   PLEASE RECYCL	-
and Blake & Bluke to receive 60% for sig	anding that we will split the proceeds of the Russell Con a 60/40 basis (Kemp & Associates to receive 40% pring the heir/heirs, completing the work and effection at attorney fee will be first deducted from the gross feesponsibility for their own reporter fees. Blake & Blak dated prior to May 13, 2008.  Rick Blake S-15-68	8
Regards,		
CC/file		'
G <sub>c</sub>	EE HILL OFFICE PARK SUITE 202	
BRAIN	TREE MA 02184	

WWW.BLAKEANDBLAKE.COM

08/05/2008 11:39 FAX 761 848 9794 BLAKE & BLAKE - REMP ASSOCIATES

JUN-04-2008 WED 03:47 PM TY & ASSOCIATES FAX NO. 1" 3303371

P. 01/01

11

June 4, 2008

Richard A. Blake, Jr. Blake & Blake 50 Braintree Hill Office Park, Suite 202 P.O. Box 5128 Braintree, MA 02164 Fax# 781-648-9794

Estate of Joseph Merlo

Dear Rick:

I am writing to confirm that we will split the estate of Joseph Merlo 60/40. The five percent attorney fee will be deducted from the gross fee and each of our firms will be responsible for our own correspondent and reporter fees. Blake & Blake will receive 60% for completing the work while Kemp & Associates will receive 40%. Blake & Blake will rotain full value of any assignments dated on or before June 3, 2008.

#### KEMP & ASSOCIATES, INC.

2677 PARLEYS WAY, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84109 (801) 532-5800 • FAX: (801) 532-5803 WWW.KEMPANDASSOCIATES.COM

July 30, 2008

Richard A. Blake, Jr.
Blake & Blake
50 Braintree Hill Office Park, Suite 202
P.O. Box 9128
Braintree, MA 02184
Fax# 781-848-9794

RE: Estate of Elsie MacNeal

Dear Rick:

I am writing to confirm that we will split the estate of Elsie MacNeal 60/40. The five percent attorney fee will be deducted from the gross fee and each of our firms will be responsible for our own correspondent and reporter fees. Kemp & Associates will receive 60% for completing the work while Blake & Blake will receive 40%.

Daniel J. Mannyx

Richard A. Blake, Jr.

Thanks DAN -

INTERNATIONAL PROBATE RESEARC

## Exhibit G

#### Janet Snow - Blake Blake

> Barb

Cliff von Langen - Blake & Blake [cliff@blakeandblake.com] From: Thursday, November 18, 2004 7:22 AM Sent: Barbara Williams To: Rick Blake; Janet@blake Cc: Re: SORKNESS Subject: Barb, Thanks for the update (darn!). By copy, advising Rick and asking Janet to close our file. Regards, Cliff ---- Original Message -----From: "Barbara Williams" <bwilliams@kempandassociates.com> To: <cliff@blakeandblake.com> Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2004 3:21 PM Subject: SORKNESS > Cliff, > Correction: The will leaves everything to the boyfriend should he survive > and he did survive, so his estate will be beneficiary. > Thanks, Barb ---- Original Message -----From: "Barbara Williams" < bwilliams@kempandassociates.com> To: <cliff@blakeandblake.com> Scnt: Wednesday, November 17, 2004 3:15 PM Subject: Estate of Ardelle Sorkness > Cliff, > We have decided to shot this case down. A will has been submitted and > accepted for probate leaving everything to the decedent's long time live > boyfriend. The boyfriend was murdered shortly after the decedent's death, > however, the will provided that his issue would take and his children have > appeared as heirs.

Fri, Dec 7, 2007 6:56 PM

Subject: Komarek estate

Date: Friday, December 7, 2007 4:35 PM

From: Dan Mannix <dmannix@kempandassociates.com>

Reply-To: <dmannix@kempandassociates.com>

To: "Richard Blake (E-mail)" < rick@blakeandblake.com>

Rick,

The heir has hired his own attorney to represent him in this estate. Therefore

we have closed our file.

Dan

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Ruben Martinez, Jr., hereby certify that on January 4, 2018, I caused a copy of the foregoing to be served in accordance with Fed. R. Crim. P. 49, LR 5.5, and the General Order on Electronic Case Filing (ECF) pursuant to the district court's system as to ECF filers.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ruben Martinez, Jr.

Antitrust Division U.S. Department of Justice Chicago Office 209 S. LaSalle Street Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 984-7200