Peter F. Lacny DATSOPOULOS, MacDONALD & LIND, P.C.

Central Square Building 201 West Main Street, Suite 201

Missoula, Montana 59802 Telephone: (406) 728-0810 Facsimile: (406) 543-0134

placny@dmllaw.com; agardnerknox@dmllaw.com Email:

Attorney for Defendant NATHAN NEPHI ZITO

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA **BILLINGS DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Cause No.: CR 22-113-BLG-SPW

Plaintiff.

v.

NATHAN NEPHI ZITO,

DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO GOVERNMENT'S SENTENCING **MEMORANDUM**

Defendant.

NATHAN NEPHI ZITO, by and through counsel, hereby responds to the Government's sentencing memorandum.

The Government's Sentencing Memorandum

The government's Sentencing Memorandum cites four cases that they argue support a prison sentence. (Doc. 17 at 11). None of the cases cited are from the District of Montana. Three of the four cases appear to have had advisory guideline ranges higher than Nathan's. In the fourth case, the Defendant was a Criminal History Category II.

In *United States vs. Langan*, the defendant pleaded guilty to an antitrust crime as well as wire fraud. *Langan*'s crimes involved bid rigging and fraud in the Connecticut construction industry. The government's sentencing memorandum in that case indicates that the probation office calculated *Langan*'s advisory guideline range at 33-41 months. Langan received a sentence of one year and a day, a significant variance from the PSR's guideline range as outlined by the government.

United States vs. Aiyer involved price fixing and bid rigging in foreign currency exchange markets. The case was prosecuted in the Southern District of New York. Aiyer was convicted at a three-week jury trial. According to the government's sentencing memorandum, the PSR calculated his guideline range at 37-46 months. Even after losing at trial,

Aiyer was sentenced well below the apparent guideline range to eight

months in prison.

In United States vs. Dip (Southern District of Florida), the

defendant pleaded guilty to an antitrust offense related to price fixing in

the freight forwarding industry. Dip's guidelines were higher than

Nathan's, at 18-24 months. Unlike Nathan, Dip was not in Zone B of the

Sentencing Table, and his guidelines did not encourage the court to

consider sentences other than imprisonment. Dip was sentenced to 18

months.

United States vs. Diaz involved bid rigging at real estate foreclosure

sales in California. The government's sentencing memorandum showed

that Diaz faced an advisory guideline range of 6-12 months. While Diaz

received a minor role enhancement, Diaz also had a Criminal History

Category of II. Nathan has no criminal history and zero criminal history

points under the guidelines.

Nathan's case is distinguishable from all of the above. Nathan's

advisory guideline range is lower than three of the four cases cited by the

government. While Diaz's guideline range was the same as Nathan's,

United States of America v. Nathan Nephi Zito; Cause No.: CR 22-113-BLG-SPW Defendant's Response to Government's Sentencing Memorandum

Diaz also was also a criminal history category II. A full consideration of all the 3553(a) factors support probation for Nathan.

DATED this 17th day of February, 2023.

DATSOPOULOS, MacDONALD & LIND, P.C.

By: <u>/s/ Peter F. Lacny</u>

Peter F. Lacny

Attorney for Nathan Nephi Zito

$\frac{\textbf{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}}{\text{L.R. 5.2(b)}}$

•	for Defendant, hereby certifies that a
copy of the Defendant's Resposne	was served on these persons by the
following means:	
1, 2 CM/ECF	
Hand Delivery	
Mail	
Overnight Delivery Ser	vice
Fax	
<u>3,4</u> Email	
1. Clerk, U.S. District Cou	ırt
2. Bryan Dake and Jerem	y Goldstein, U.S. Attorney Office
3. U.S. Probation Office	
4. Nathan Nephi Zito	
DATED this 17th day of Febr	uary, 2023.
-	
E	By: <u>/s/ Peter F. Lacny</u>
	Peter Lacny