FILED

DEC 0 1 2004

CLERK CLURT OURT

DEC 0 1 2004

MICHAEL W. DOBBINS CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SUPERING NO. 10 000 70

MICHAEL W. DOBBINS
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT GOARD NORTH DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS STORE
NORTH DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS STORE EASTERN DIVISION

American Needle, Inc. 1275 Busch Parkway Buffalo Grove, Illinois 60089, Plaintiff) 04° 7806 Civil Action No.
V.)) Judge
New Orleans Louisiana Saints 5800 Airline Highway Metaire, Lousiana 70003,)))) Magistrate Judge)
and Seattle Seahawks, Inc. 11220 N.E. 53 rd Street Kirkland, Washington 98033,) MAGISTRATE JUDGE NOLON
and	
The Oakland Raiders A.D. Football, Inc. 1220 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, California 94502,)
and	
National Football League 280 Park Avenue New York, New York 10017,))
and	
Richardson Sports Limited Partnership 1901 Roxborough Road, Suite 211 Charlotte, North Carolina 28211,	DOCKETED DEC 6 2004
and	DEC 6 200
Detroit Lions, Inc. 1200 Featherstone Road Pontiac, MI 48507,)
and	
)	

```
National Football League
 Properties, Inc.
280 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10017,
and
Minnesota Vikings Football Club, LLC Ltd)
9520 Viking Drive
Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344,
and
Houston NFL Holdings, L.P.
Houston NFL Holdings G.P., LLC
711 Louisiana, 33<sup>rd</sup> Floor
Houston, Texas 77002,
and
Pro-Football, Inc.
21300 Redskin Park Drive
Ashburn, Virginia 22011,
and
Jacksonville Jaguars, Ltd.
One Alltel Stadium Plaza
Jacksonville, Florida 32202,
and
Five Smiths, Inc.
The Corporation Georgia
4400 Falcon Parkway
Flowery Branch, Georgia 30542
and
Tennessee Football, L.P.
530 Gay Street
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902,
and
Pittsburgh Steelers Sports, Inc.
3400 South Water Street
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15203,
```

Buffalo Bills, Inc. One Bills Drive Orchard Park, New York 14127,)))
	`
Indianapolis Colts, Inc. 7001 W. 56 th Street Indianapolis, Indiana 46254,)
and	,
San Francisco Forty Niners, Ltd. Marie P. DeBartolo Sports Center 4949 Centennial Boulevard Santa Clara, California 95054,)
and	,
Chargers Football Company, LLC Ltd. 4020 Murphy Canyon Road San Diego, California 92123,)
and	,
PDB Sports, Ltd. DBA Denver Broncos 13655 Broncos Parkway Englewood, Colorado 80112,)
and	,
New England Patriots L.P. One Patriot Place Foxboro, Massachusetts 02035,)))
and	
B & B Holdings, Inc. 8702 South Hardy Drive Tempe, Arizona 85284,)
and	,
Cincinnati Bengals, Inc. One Bengals Drive Cincinnati, Ohio 25204,)
and	•

```
St. Louis Rams Partnership
St. Louis Rams Football Company, Inc.
1 Rams Way
St. Louis, Missouri 63045,
and
Green Bay Packers, Inc.
1265 Lombardi Avenue
Green Bay, Wisconsin 54304,
and
Miami Dolphins, Ltd.
South Florida Football Corporation
7500 S.W. 30th St.
Davie, Florida 33314,
and
New York Jets LLC
1000 Fulton Avenue
Hempstead, New York 11550,
and
Dallas Cowboys Football Club, Ltd.
One Cowboys Parkway
Irving, Texas 75063,
and
Kansas City Chiefs Football Club, Inc.
One Arrowhead Drive
Kansas City, Missouri 64129,
and
Tampa Bay Area NFL Football, Inc.
One Buccaneer Place
Tampa, Florida 33607,
and
Cleveland Browns Football Company LLC)
80 First Avenue
Berea, Ohio 44017,
and
```

Case: 1:04-cv-07806 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/01/04 Page 5 of 14 PageID #:5

Seattle Seahawks, Inc.

11220 N.E. 53rd Street

Kirkland, Washington 98033,

and

New York Football Giants, Inc.

Giants Stadium

East Rutherford, New Jersey 07073,

and

Philadelphia Eagles Football Club, Inc.

Broad Street & Pattison Avenue

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19148,

and

Reebok International, Ltd.

COMPLAINT

JURY TRAIL DEMANDED

Case: 1:04-cv-07806 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/01/04 Page 6 of 14 PageID #:6

COMPLAINT

American Needle, Inc. hereby states its complaint against defendants in this matter.

Jurisdiction and Venue

American Needle, Inc. brings this action pursuant to the antitrust laws of the United States, specifically the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 1, et seq. This court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1331(b).

The Parties

- 1. Plaintiff American Needle, Inc. ("ANI") is an Illinois corporation. Its principal place of business is located at 1275 Busch Parkway, Buffalo Grove, Illinois. Among other things, plaintiff ANI is in the business of designing, manufacturing and selling headwear.
- 2. Defendant National Football League ("NFL") is an unincorporated association comprising, through their respective owners, the various football teams in the NFL (the "NFL Teams").
- 3. Defendant National Football League Properties, Inc.

 ("NFLP") is a Delaware corporation established pursuant to an agreement among the NFL and the constituent NFL Teams for the purpose or licensing the trademarks, logos and other indicia (together, "Trademarks") of the individual NFL Teams and the NFL for commercial use. Pursuant to an agreement among the NFL and

the NFL Teams, NFLP has the "exclusive right to license for commercial purposes" the Trademarks of the NFL and the individual NFL Teams.

- 4. Defendant Reebok International, Ltd. is, among other things, a marketer of sports apparel and headwear.
- 5. The remaining defendants, respectively, are owners of the individual member teams in the NFL. Each such defendant, respectively, is also the owner of the trademarks, logos and other intellectual property associated with its team.

General Facts

- 6. As part of its headwear business, ANI designs, manufactures, and sells headwear that is decorated with the logos, trademarks and other indicia of various professional sports teams.
- 7. For many years prior to March 2001, ANI was licensed by NFL Properties to design, manufacture, and sell headwear bearing the NFL Teams' respective Trademarks.
- 8. Prior to December 2000, NFLP accepted applications for and granted licenses to various businesses (the "NFL Licensees") that incorporated the individual NFL Teams' Trademarks as decoration on apparel, including headwear, and other items for sale to the general public.
- 9. Prior to December 2000, the various NFL Licensees competed with each other in their attempts to obtain an NFLP license.

Case: 1:04-cv-07806 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/01/04 Page 8 of 14 PageID #:8

10. The NFL Licensees also competed with each other in the design, manufacture and sale of products that incorporated the individual NFL Teams' trademarks as decoration on apparel, including headwear, and other items for sale to the general public.

- 11. In December 2000, the NFL, NFLP, and the individual NFL Teams jointly agreed that they would no longer grant multiple licenses to use the Trademarks of the individual NFL Teams and the NFL in apparel and headwear. Instead, they agreed to grant an exclusive license to a single marketer of apparel and headwear to use the Trademarks of the individual NFL Teams and the NFL. In doing so, the NFL, NFLP and the individual NFL Teams intended to create a monopoly in the granting of licenses to use the Trademarks of the individual NFL Teams and the NFL in apparel and headwear and in the manufacture, sale and distribution of apparel and headwear products bearing the Trademarks of the individual NFL Teams and the NFL.
- 12. Thereafter, NFLP, in combination with the NFL Teams and the NFL, entered into an agreement with Reebok, pursuant to which Reebok would be the exclusive provider of apparel and headwear bearing the Trademarks of the individual NFL Teams and the NFL. In doing so, the NFL, NFLP, the individual NFL Teams, and Reebok intended to create a monopoly in the granting of licenses to use the Trademarks of the individual NFL Teams and the NFL in apparel

Case: 1:04-cv-07806 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/01/04 Page 9 of 14 PageID #:9

and headwear and in the sale and distribution of apparel and headwear products bearing the Trademarks of the individual NFL Teams and the NFL.

- 13. As a consequence of the agreement to grant an exclusive license to Reebok, ANI and other NFL Licensees were notified by NFLP that their licenses would not be renewed upon their expiration.
- 14. As a consequence of the agreement to grant an exclusive license to Reebok, ANI's license to use the Trademarks of the individual NFL Teams and the NFL was not renewed by NFLP when it expired in March 2001.

Relevant Markets

- 15. A relevant market for purposes of antitrust analysis in this action is the market for obtaining licenses to use the Trademarks of the individual NFL Teams and the NFL in the design, manufacture, and sale of apparel for sale in the United States.
- 16. A relevant market for purposes of antitrust analysis in this action is the market for obtaining licenses to use the Trademarks of the individual NFL Teams and the NFL in the design, manufacture, and sale of headwear for sale in the United States.
- 17. A relevant market for purposes of antitrust analysis in this action is the wholesale market for the sale and distribution of apparel products incorporating Trademarks of the individual NFL Teams and the NFL within the United States.
- 18. A relevant market for purposes of antitrust analysis in this action is the wholesale market for the sale of headwear

products incorporating Trademarks of the individual NFL Teams and the NFL within the United States.

- 19. A relevant market for purposes of antitrust analysis in this action is the market for the manufacture of apparel products incorporating Trademarks of the individual NFL Teams and the NFL within the United States.
- 20. A relevant market for purposes of antitrust analysis in this action is the market for the manufacture of headwear products incorporating Trademarks of the individual NFL Teams and the NFL within the United States.

Count I

Sherman Act Section 2

Monopolization

- 21. By their agreement to grant an exclusive license to Reebok, defendants have unlawfully monopolized each of the markets described in paragraphs 15-20 of this complaint.
- 22. As a result of the defendants' unlawful conduct, ANI has been damaged in an amount in excess of five million dollars.

Count II

Sherman Act Section 2

Conspiracy to Monopolize

23. By their agreement to grant an exclusive license to Reebok, with the intention of creating a monopoly, defendants have unlawfully conspired to monopolize each of the markets described in paragraphs 15-20 of this complaint.

24. As a result of the defendants' unlawful conduct, ANI has been damaged in an amount in excess of five million dollars.

Count III

Sherman Act Section 2

Attempt to Monopolize

- 25. By their agreement to grant an exclusive license to Reebok, with the intention of creating a monopoly, defendants have unlawfully attempted to monopolize each of the markets described in paragraphs 15-20 of this complaint.
- 26. As a result of the defendants' unlawful conduct, ANI has been damaged in an amount in excess of five million dollars.

Count IV

Sherman Act Section 1

Restraint of Trade -- Per Se

- 27. By their agreement to grant an exclusive license to Reebok, defendants have unlawfully restrained trade in each of the markets described in paragraphs 15-20 of this complaint.
- 28. Defendants' restraint of trade constitutes a horizontal price fixing agreement warranting per se treatment under Section 1 of the Sherman Act.
- 29. Defendants' restraint of trade constitutes an unlawful group boycott warranting per se treatment under Section 1 of the Sherman Act.
- 30. As a result of the defendants' unlawful conduct, ANI has been damaged in an amount in excess of five million dollars.

Count V

Sherman Act Section 2

Restraint of Trade -- Rule of Reason

- 31. By their agreement to grant an exclusive license to Reebok, defendants have unreasonably restrained trade in each of the markets described in paragraphs 15-20 of this complaint.
- 32. Defendants' restraint of trade constitutes a horizontal price fixing agreement warranting per se treatment under Section 1 of the Sherman Act.
- 33, Defendants' restraint of trade constitutes an unlawful group boycott warranting per se treatment under Section 1 of the Sherman Act.
- 34. As a result of the defendants' unlawful conduct, ANI has been damaged in an amount in excess of five million dollars.

Relief

Therefore, plaintiff American Needle, Inc. respectfully requests that the court enter judgment in its favor, award it damages in an amount to be proved at trial, plus treble damages, its attorneys fees and costs, and an injunction prohibiting further violations of the antitrust laws by defendants.

Respectfully summitted,

1275 Busch Parkway

Buffalo Grove, Illinois 60089

847 215-0011, ext. 382

Attorney for

American Needle, Inc.

Case:	1:04-cv-07806 E	Oocument #: 1			e 13 of 14 PageID	#:13
<i>J</i> . '	and the information con by local rules of court. or the purpose of initiati	4.			iling and service of pleading the United States in Septer ONTHE REVERSE OF T	gs or other papers as required mber 1974, is required for the THE FORM.)
(a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDA	CF STO	
AMERICA	IN NEEDLE,	INC DEC	0 1 20	14 NOF 18 1		MAISIANA SAINTS
	'	MICHA	EL W. DO	BBINS	g Mo <u>r Ceale</u> ge	
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff <u> </u>	ALCLERIK, U.S	Constance	God My of Resid	lence of First Listed (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASI	ES ONLY)
					ND CONDEMNATION CASES, UD INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nam JEFFREY A 1275 BU BU FFALO	1 CAREY	4 1 4	4C	78 847-215	06	
II, BASIS OF JURISD					PRINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐ 2 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	nent Not a Party)	Citizen	7	F DEF 1 D1 Incorporated or GISTRATE JULIEE N	And One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Principal Place
Defendant Defendant	• •	enship of Parties	CITIZOIT	or renounce state.	of Business I	n Another State
	·			or Subject of a on Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT CONTRACT		One Box Only) RTS	FOREE	ITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY_	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product	☐ 362 Personal Injury— Med. Malpractice ☐ 365 Personal Injury — Product Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER ☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	625 625 630 640 650 660	Other Food & Drug Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs. Decupational Safety/Health Other	□ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark	430 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Inj.	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act _abor/Mgmt. Relations		12 USC 3410 1 891 Agricultural Acts 1 892 Economic Stabilization Act 1 893 Environmental Matters 2 894 Energy Allocation Act
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment	PRISONER PETITIO	7301	Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act Railway Labor Act	B64 SSID Title XVI B65 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act ☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations ☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition	er 🛭 791 I	Other Labor Litigation Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 890 Other Statutory Actions
V. ORIGIN (PLAC	E AN "X" IN ONE BO	X ONLY)		<i>m</i>		Appeal to District
	tate Court A	Remanded from Dappellate Court	_Reopene	d or 5 anothe 1 (specif	erred from or district	ict Judge from Magistrate Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION		ute under which you are film al statutes unless diversity.)		f statement of cause.		
SH	ERMAN ACT	15 U.S.C.	. 81-	el-5.0g.	MONOPOLY RESTRAINT	OF TRADE
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.F	S IS A CLASS ACTION 2. 23	N DEMA	ND \$	CHECK YES only i JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint;
VIII. This case	is not a refiling of a	previously dismis:		ovious la district	₹¶ Judge	
DATE	is a refiling of case	SIGNATURE OF ATT		eviou DEC	2004	1
XX	Alex M	lauf		<i>D</i> _0		\

Case: 1:04-cv-07806 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/01/04 Page 14 of 14 Page D #:14 UN ED STATES DISTRICT COU T

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

In the Matter of

MICHAEL W. DOBBINS CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

AMERICAN NEEDLE, INC.

V.

NEW DRIEANS LOUISIANA SAINTS et al.

Case Number:

APPEARANCES ARE HEREBY FILED BY THE UNDERSIGNED AS ATTORNEY(S) FOR: AMERICAN NEEDLE, INC

<u></u>	<u> </u>					······································				
		JUDGE WORAN								
0 1	(A) /		(B)							
SIGNATURE SIGNATURE SIGNATURE					SIGNATURE WAGISTRATE JUDGE NOLAN					
NAME TERFREY M	CARE	d			NAME WIRDS					
FIRM	/				FIRM					
STREET ADDRESS BUSE	14 PA1	eku	 JAY		STREET ADDRESS	<u> </u>				
BUFFALD GADVE 122 10089					CITY/STATE/ZIP					
TELEPHONE NUMBER	FAX N	UMBER		0013	TELEPHONE NUMBER	FAX NU	MBER			
E-MAIL ADDRESS		<u> </u>			E-MAIL ADDRESS					
IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON F	REVERSE)			· · · · · ·	IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON RE	VERSE)		<u>-</u> ,		
MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES	4	NO		MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES		NO		
TRIAL ATTORNEY?	YES_	#	NO		TRIAL ATTORNEY?	YES		NO		
					DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?	YES		NO		
	(<u>C</u>)				(D)					
SIGNATURE			·		SIGNATURE					
NAME			·		NAME		WET	ED		
FIRM					FIRM	DE	ر المالي ا	500	4	
STREET ADDRESS					STREET ADDRESS	DE	ن ``			
CITY/STATE/ZIP	·				CITY/STATE/ZIP					
TELEPHONE NUMBER	FAX N	UMBER			TELEPHONE NUMBER	FAX NU	MBER	 -		
E-MAIL ADDRESS	<u></u>				E-MAIL ADDRESS	 			$\overline{\cap}$	
IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON F	REVERSE)				IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REV	VERSE)		/-	'	
MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES		NO		MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES		ИО	-/	
TRIAL ATTORNEY?	YES		NO		TRIAL ATTORNEY?	YES	P	NO		
DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?	YES		NO		DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?	YES	<u></u>	NO		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING APPEARANCE FORM