

**General Docket**  
**United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit**

<b>Court of Appeals Docket #:</b> 19-70248 Logitech, Inc. v. USDC-CASF <b>Appeal From:</b> U.S. District Court for Northern California, San Francisco <b>Fee Status:</b> Paid	<b>Docketed:</b> 01/25/2019
<b>Case Type Information:</b> 1) original proceeding 2) paid mandamus/prohibition 3) null	
<b>Originating Court Information:</b> <b>District:</b> 0971-3 : <a href="#">3:18-cv-03091-WHA</a> <b>Trial Judge:</b> William Alsup, District Judge <b>Date Rec'd COA:</b> 01/25/2019	
<b>Prior Cases:</b> <a href="#">18-72732</a> <b>Date Filed:</b> 10/09/2018 <b>Date Disposed:</b> 12/24/2018 <b>Disposition:</b> Denied - Judge Order	
<b>Current Cases:</b> None	

In re: LOGITECH, INC.  
 -----

LOGITECH, INC.  
 Petitioner,

Keri Elizabeth Borders  
 Direct: 213-229-5143  
 [COR LD NTC Retained]  
 Mayer Brown LLP  
 25th Floor  
 350 South Grand Avenue  
 Los Angeles, CA 90071

Donald Manwell Falk  
 [COR LD NTC Retained]  
 Mayer Brown LLP  
 3000 El Camino Real  
 Two Palo Alto Square  
 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112

Dale Joseph Giali  
 [COR NTC Retained]  
 Mayer Brown LLP  
 350 South Grand Avenue  
 Los Angeles, CA 90071

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN  
 DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO  
 Respondent,

JAMES PORATH, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated  
 individuals  
 Real Party in Interest,

Rafey S. Balabanian  
 Direct: 312-589-6370  
 [COR LD NTC Retained]  
 Edelson P.C.  
 350 N. LaSalle Street  
 Suite 1400  
 Chicago, IL 60654

In re: LOGITECH, INC.,

-----

LOGITECH, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO,

Respondent,

JAMES PORATH, individually and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals,

Real Party in Interest.

01/25/2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">1</a> 86 pg, 649.31 KB	FILED PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND APPENDIX. DOCKETED CAUSE AND ENTERED APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL. NOTIFIED REAL PARTIES IN INTEREST OF FILING. [11166594] (BY) [Entered: 01/25/2019 10:17 AM]
01/28/2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">2</a> 19 pg, 119.12 KB	Filed (ECF) Petitioner Logitech, Inc. EMERGENCY Motion to stay lower court action. Date of service: 01/28/2019. [11168884] [19-70248] (Giali, Dale) [Entered: 01/28/2019 11:35 AM]
02/13/2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">3</a> 2 pg, 263.68 KB	Filed order (WILLIAM C. CANBY, SUSAN P. GRABER and M. MARGARET MCKEOWN) The emergency motion to stay district court proceedings pending resolution of this petition for a writ of mandamus (Docket Entry No. <a href="#">2</a> ) is granted. See Hilton v. Braunskill, 481 U.S. 770, 776 (1987). This petition for a writ of mandamus raises issues that may warrant an answer. See Fed. R. App. P. 21(b). Accordingly, within 14 days after the date of this order, the district court may further address the petition if it so desires. The district court may elect to file an answer with this court or to issue a supplemental order and serve a copy on this court. Petitioner Logitech, Inc., and the plaintiff in the underlying proceeding, James Porath, may file a reply within 5 days after service of the answer. The petition, answer, and any reply shall be referred to the next available motions panel. The Clerk shall serve this order on the district court and District Judge William Alsup. [11189012] (OC) [Entered: 02/13/2019 01:30 PM]
02/28/2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">4</a> 17 pg, 100.33 KB	Filed statement of District Court Judge William Alsup in response to mandamus petition. Served on 02/28/2019. [11211106] (LA) [Entered: 02/28/2019 10:32 AM]
03/05/2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">5</a> 18 pg, 109.45 KB	Filed (ECF) Petitioner Logitech, Inc. reply to answer to Writ of Mandamus petition. Date of service: 03/05/2019. [11217348] [19-70248] (Giali, Dale) [Entered: 03/05/2019 05:47 PM]
03/14/2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">6</a> 1 pg, 194.5 KB	Filed order (BARRY G. SILVERMAN, RICHARD C. TALLMAN and MARY H. MURGUIA): The petition for a writ of mandamus and responses are referred to the merits panel. The Clerk shall place this petition on the first available calendar. [11227108] (AF) [Entered: 03/14/2019 08:49 AM]
03/20/2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">7</a>	<p>This case is being considered for an upcoming oral argument calendar in Seattle</p> <p>Please review the Seattle sitting dates for July 2019 and the 2 subsequent sitting months in that location at <a href="http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/court_sessions">http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/court_sessions</a>. If you have an unavoidable conflict on any of the dates, please file <a href="#">Form 32</a> within 3 business days of this notice using the CM/ECF filing type <b>Response to Case Being Considered for Oral Argument</b>. Please follow the form's <a href="#">instructions</a> carefully.</p> <p>When setting your argument date, the court will try to work around unavoidable conflicts; the court is not able to accommodate mere scheduling preferences. You will receive notice that your case has been assigned to a calendar approximately 10 weeks before the scheduled oral argument date.</p> <p>If the parties wish to discuss settlement before an argument date is set, they should jointly request referral to the mediation unit by filing a letter <b>within 3 business days of this notice</b>, using CM/ECF (<b>Type of Document:</b> File Correspondence to Court; <b>Subject:</b> request for mediation). [11235149] (AW) [Entered: 03/20/2019 09:42 AM]</p>
03/21/2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">8</a> 1 pg, 248.77 KB	Filed (ECF) Attorney Mr. Donald Manwell Falk for Petitioner Logitech, Inc. response to notice for case being considered for oral argument. Date of service: 03/21/2019. [11237654] [19-70248] (Falk, Donald) [Entered: 03/21/2019 01:31 PM]
03/21/2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">9</a>	<p>This case is being considered for an upcoming oral argument calendar in San Francisco.</p> <p>Please review the San Francisco sitting dates for July 2019 and the 2 subsequent sitting months in that location at <a href="http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/court_sessions">http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/court_sessions</a>. If you have an unavoidable conflict on any of the dates, please file <a href="#">Form 32</a> within 3 business days of this notice using the CM/ECF filing type <b>Response to Case Being Considered for Oral Argument</b>. Please follow the form's <a href="#">instructions</a> carefully.</p> <p>When setting your argument date, the court will try to work around unavoidable conflicts; the court is not able to accommodate mere scheduling preferences. You will receive notice that your case has been assigned to a calendar approximately 10 weeks before the scheduled oral argument date.</p> <p>If the parties wish to discuss settlement before an argument date is set, they should jointly request referral to the mediation unit by filing a letter <b>within 3 business days of this notice</b>, using CM/ECF (<b>Type of Document:</b> File Correspondence to Court; <b>Subject:</b> request for mediation). [11237959] (KS) [Entered: 03/21/2019 02:51 PM]</p>
03/22/2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">10</a> 1 pg, 135.98 KB	Filed (ECF) Attorney Mr. Donald Manwell Falk for Petitioner Logitech, Inc. response to notice for case being considered for oral argument. Date of service: 03/22/2019. [11240030] [19-70248] (Falk, Donald) [Entered: 03/22/2019 05:52 PM]
03/25/2019	<input type="checkbox"/> <a href="#">11</a> 1 pg, 647.61 KB	Filed Respondent USDC-CASF response to notice for case being considered for oral argument.. Served on 03/25/2019. [11241013] (JFF) [Entered: 03/25/2019 01:25 PM]

Clear All

Documents and Docket Summary

Documents Only

Include Page Numbers

Selected Pages:  Selected Size:

View Sele

---