IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

IN RE: URETHANE ANTITRUST LITIGATION )
)
Plaintiff(s), )
)
vs. ) Case No. 04-1616
)
)
)
Defendant(s). )
)
)

QUESTION FROM THE JURY

YOUR HONOR,

Was the question (#23) predicated in finding collusion existed prior to November 24, 2000? ie: if we found no conspiracy in 1999 but we found conspiracy and concealment after Nov 24, 2000

Dated at Weds on February 20th, Time 12:37.

[redacted]
Signature of Presiding Juror

ANSWER OF THE JUDGE,

(See attached)

Dated at KC, KS on 2/20/13, Time 1:10 p.m.

Signature of Judge

You only get to the issue of fraudulent concealment, the subject of instruction #23, if you find that a conspiracy involving Dow caused Class Plaintiffs to pay more for urethane chemicals than they would have paid absent a conspiracy at a time prior to November 24, 2000. Put another way, with reference to the Verdict Form, you only answer question #4 if you have answered questions #1, #2 and #3 all yes.

2/20/13 John W. Singleton