JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

JUN 1 6 2005

## DOCKET NO. 1616

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

## BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION IN RE URETHANE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Seegott Holdings, Inc. v. Bayer AG, et al., D. New Jersey, C.A. No. 2:04-5850 Alco Industries, Inc. v. Bayer AG, et al., D. New Jersey, C.A. No. 2:05-789

BEFORE WM. TERRELL HODGES, CHAIRMAN, JOHN F. KEENAN, D. LOWELL JENSEN, J. FREDERICK MOTZ,\* ROBERT L. MILLER, JR., KATHRYN H. VRATIL\* AND DAVID R. HANSEN, JUDGES OF THE PANEL

## TRANSFER ORDER

Now before the Panel are two motions, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, brought by the same four companies: BASF Corp., Bayer Corp., The Dow Chemical Co., and Huntsman International LLC. Movants ask the Panel to transfer two District of New Jersey actions (*Seegott* and *Alco*) as tagalong actions to be included in MDL-1616, which is now pending in the District of Kansas before Judge John W. Lungstrum. Joining in support of the request for inclusion of these two actions in MDL-1616 are the lead plaintiffs in the MDL-1616 actions in the transferee district. Opposed to transfer are i) Crompton Corp. and Uniroyal Chemical Co. (two companies that are named as defendants in MDL-1616 actions but are not among the defendants in the two New Jersey actions now before the Panel), ii) the *Seegott* and *Alco* plaintiffs, and iii) the plaintiff in a related action recently filed in the District of New Jersey (see note 1, *supra*).

On the basis of the papers filed and hearing session held, the Panel finds that *Seegott* and *Alco* involve common questions of fact with actions in this litigation previously transferred to the District of Kansas, and that transfer of the actions to that district for inclusion in the coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings occurring there will serve the convenience of the parties and

<sup>\*</sup>Judges Motz and Vratil took no part in the disposition of this matter.

¹The first Section 1407 motion filed by these movants also pertained to one Northern District of California action, *Green Mountain International, Inc., v. BASF Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 3:04-513. The question of Section 1407 transfer with respect to that action, however, was mooted by the April 29, 2005 voluntary dismissal of the action. The same movants now before the Panel subsequently filed a third Section 1407 transfer motion that pertained to an Eastern District of Pennsylvania action, *RBX Industries, Inc. v. Bayer Corp., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:05-1045. The question of this action's transfer was similarly mooted when the action was voluntarily dismissed on March 31, 2005. The plaintiff in this Pennsylvania action subsequently brought a new action in the District of New Jersey, *RBX Industries, Inc. v. The Dow Chemical Co., et al.*, C.A. No. 2:05-1788. Certain responding parties have suggested that this new New Jersey action should also be transferred by the Panel. No motion has been filed with respect to this action, however, and thus the action is not now before the Panel. In view of the Panel's disposition of the matter now before it, this New Jersey action will be treated as a potential tag-along action in accordance with the Panel's Rules. *See* Rules 7.4 and 7.5, R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001).

witnesses and promote the just and efficient conduct of the litigation. The Panel is persuaded that transfer of the actions is appropriate for reasons expressed by the Panel in its original order directing centralization in this docket. In that order, the Panel held that the District of Kansas was a proper Section 1407 forum for actions brought by persons allegedly injured by a conspiracy to fix and maintain the prices of urethane and urethane chemicals. See In re Urethane Antitrust Litigation, 333 F.Supp.2d 1379 (J.P.M.L. 2004).

Opponents to transfer of Seegott and Alco argue that the actions should not be transferred because the actions do not share sufficient questions of fact with the previously centralized MDL-1616 actions. In particular, opponents contend that Seegott and Alco involve different products and a separate price-fixing conspiracy. Supporters of transfer, on the other hand, maintain that the differences between the urethane products and chemicals in MDL-1616 and the urethane products and chemicals in *Seegott* and *Alco* are distinctions without a difference for the purpose of common Section 1407 pretrial proceedings. Transfer under Section 1407 does not require a complete identity or even a majority of common factual or legal issues as a prerequisite to transfer. The products at issue in Seegott and Alco are components of urethane and/or urethane chemicals. Transfer under Section 1407 will thus permit these two actions and the previously centralized MDL-1616 actions to proceed before a single transferee judge who can structure pretrial proceedings to consider all parties' legitimate discovery needs, while ensuring that common parties and witnesses are not subjected to discovery demands which duplicate activity that will occur or has already occurred in any of the actions. The transferee court remains free, of course, to formulate a pretrial program that allows any non-common discovery or other unique pretrial matters in these two actions to proceed on a separate track concurrently with proceedings common to the other MDL-1616 actions. See In re Accutane Products Liability Litigation, 343 F.Supp.2d 1382, 1383 (J.P.M.L. 2004). It may also be, on further refinement of the issues and close scrutiny by the transferee judge, that these two actions (or claims therein) can be remanded in advance of the other actions in the transferee district. But we are unwilling, on the basis of the record before us, to make such a determination at this time with respect to these actions. Should the transferee judge deem remand of any claims or actions appropriate, procedures are available whereby this may be accomplished with a minimum of delay. See Rule 7.6, R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. at 436-38.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, these actions are transferred to the District of Kansas and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable John W. Lungstrum for inclusion in the coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings occurring there in this docket.

FOR THE PANEL:

Wm. Terrell Hodges Chairman

2/22mel Hoden

## INVOLVED COUNSEL LIST DOCKET NO. 1616 IN RE URETHANE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Bayer Materialscience, LLC Office Of General Counsel 100 Bayer Road Pittsburgh, PA 15205-9741

Allen D. Black Fine, Kaplan & Black, RPC 1845 Walnut Street Suite 2300 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Anthony J. Bolognese Bolognese & Associates, LLC 1617 JFK Boulevard Suite 650 Philadelphia, PA 19103

William C. Cagney Windels, Marx, Lane & Mittendorf 120 Albany Street Plaza New Brunswick, NJ 08901

Robert W. Fleischmann Steptoe & Johnson, LLP 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Joseph Goldberg Freedman, Boyd, Daniels, et al. 20 First Plaza Suite 700 Albuquerque, NM 87102

Michael D. Hausfeld Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, PLLC 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Suite 500 West Tower Washington, DC 20005

James S. Jardine Ray, Quinney & Nebeker 36 South State Street Suite 1400 Salt Lake City, UT 84111

Howard Langer Langer & Grogan 1600 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

G. Hamilton Loeb Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker, LLP 875 15th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Andrew S. Marovitz Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw, LLP 71 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

Joseph G. Matye Shook, Hardy & Bacon 2555 Grand Blvd. Kansas City, MO 64108-2613

J. Bruce McKissock McKissock & Hoffman 1818 Market Street 13th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103-3638

John J. Mcgrath McKissock & Hoffman, P.C. 25 Chestnut Street Suite 108 Haddonfield, NJ 08033

H. Laddie Montague, Jr. Berger & Montague, P.C. 1622 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-6365

Thomas A. Muzilla McCray, Muzilla, Smith & Meyers 260 Burns Road Suite 150 Elyria, OH 44036

William V. O'Reilly Jones Day 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001

Howard J. Sedran Levin, Fishbein, Sedran & Berman 510 Walnut Street Suite 500 Philadelphia, PA 19106

Norman E. Siegel Stueve Helder & Siegel 330 W 47th St Ste 250 Kansas City, MO 64112

Myroslaw Smorodsky Law Offices of Myroslaw Smorodsky The Columns 47 Orient Way Suite LL-C Rutherford, NJ 07070