Case4:06-cv-04333-PJH Document255 Filed10/24/07 Page1 of 4

1	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP JOEL S. SANDERS, SBN 107234		
2	JSanders@gibsondunn.com G. CHARLES NIERLICH, SBN 196611		
3	GNierlich@gibsondunn.com REBECCA JUSTICE LAZARUS, SBN 227330		
4	RJustice@gibsondunn.com		
5	One Montgomery Street, Suite 3100 San Francisco, California 94104		
6	Telephone: (415) 393-8200 Facsimile: (415) 986-5309		
7	Attorneys for Defendants		
8	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC.		
9			
10	LINITED STATES	DISTRICT COLIDT	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	FOR THE NORTHERN DI	STRICT OF CALIF	OKNIA
13			
14		Case No. C 06-433	3 РЈН
15	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,		
16	Plaintiff,	SUPPORT OF D	OF JOEL S. SANDERS IN EFENDANTS'
17	V.		O PLAINTIFFS' MOTION NDANTS' JUDGMENT
18	INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG, et al.,	SHARING AGRI	
19			
20	Defendants.	ORAL ARGUME	ENT REQUESTED
21		Hearing Date:	November 14, 2007
22		Hearing Time: Location:	9:00 a.m. Courtroom 3, 17th Floor
23		Judge:	Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton
24		J	
25			
26			
27			
28			

I, Joel S. Sanders, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a partner at the law firm of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, counsel for Micron Technology, Inc. and Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc., in the above-entitled action. I am competent to testify, and have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein.
- 2. The Judgment Sharing Agreement at issue in Plaintiffs' Motion to Void Defendants' Judgment Sharing Agreement creates a contractual right of contribution.
- 3. The Judgment Sharing Agreement allows the parties to settle on any terms at any time with or without notice to the other parties.
- 4. The Judgment Sharing Agreement contains no provision barring any participant from litigating or settling Plaintiffs' claims in whatever manner it sees fit.
- 5. The Judgment Sharing Agreement here provides that the parties will allocate responsibility for the damages portion of a judgment based on specified percentages related to their market shares.
- 6. The Judgment Sharing Agreement allows a party to settle with the Plaintiffs without continuing to have obligations to the other parties to the agreement by (a) obtaining a proportionally equal settlement offer for the other parties to the agreement and (b) obtaining an agreement from Plaintiffs to exclude its sharing percentage from any judgment Plaintiffs seek to enforce against the other parties. A party may settle without satisfying these provisions, but the other parties will retain the contractual contribution claims against it for its share of a litigated judgment less the amount it paid in settlement.
- 7. I have conferred with the other defense counsel of those Defendants who are signatories to the Judgment Sharing Agreement and am informed and believe that Plaintiffs have not made a separate settlement offer since the execution of the Judgment Sharing Agreement to any signatory to the Judgment Sharing Agreement.
- 8. The Judgment Sharing Agreement at issue expressly excludes civil or criminal fines or penalties.

Case4:06-cv-04333-PJH Document255 Filed10/24/07 Page3 of 4

1	9. Upon reviewing the Plaintiffs' Motion, I informed Plaintiffs' counsel in writing on		
2	October 11, 2007 that the Judgment Sharing Agreement expressly excludes fines and penalties and		
3	suggested that Plaintiffs withdraw this argument before Defendants had to file their opposition. I also		
4	offered to provide a declaration or to allow Plaintiffs' counsel to examine the provision of the		
5	Judgment Sharing agreement that states it does not apply to civil or criminal fines or penalties. A		
6	true and correct copy of that October 11, 2007 letter is attached hereto as Exhibit A .		
7	10. I am informed and believe that, on October 19, 2007, Plaintiffs' counsel examined the		
8	portion of the Judgment Sharing Agreement that explicitly excludes civil or criminal fines or		
9	penalties.		
10	11. I am informed and believe that Defendants allowed Plaintiffs to view certain portions		
11	of the Judgment Sharing Agreement in lieu of motion practice based on Plaintiffs' agreement "not to		
12	quote specific language as such in any document (other than internal documents)." Plaintiffs'		
13	Motion quotes from the Judgment Sharing Agreement. Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct		
14	copy of an August 24, 2007 e-mail communication between G. Charles Nierlich, a partner with		
15	Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP who is also counsel of record for the Micron Defendants, and Emilio		
16	Varanini, one of the counsel of record for Plaintiffs, setting forth this agreement.		
17	12. Attached as Exhibit C to this Declaration is a true and correct copy of <i>In re Workers</i>		
18	Compensation Ins. Antitrust Litig., Master File No. 4-85-1166 (D. Minn. Aug. 24, 1990)		
19	13. Attached as Exhibit D to this Declaration is a true and correct copy of <i>In re Industrial</i>		
20	Gas Antitrust Litig., Civ. Action No. 80C3470 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 10, 1984).		
21			
22	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 24th		
23	day of October, 2007, in San Francisco, CA.		
24			
25			
26	Joel S. Sanders		
27			
28			

Case4:06-cv-04333-PJH Document255 Filed10/24/07 Page4 of 4

100324726_1.DOC