Case5:00-cv-20905-RMW Document2625 Filed10/17/07 Page1 of 4 GREGORY P. STONE (SBN 78329) STEVEN M. PERRY (SBN 106154) MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 683-9100; Facsimile: (213) 687-3702 E-mail: gregory.stone@mto.com; steven.perry@mto.com PETER A. DETRE (SBN 182619) CAROLYN HOECKER LUEDTKE (SBN 207976) MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2907 Telephone: (415) 512-4000; Facsimile: (415) 512-4077 E-mail: peter.detre@mto.com; carolyn.luedtke@mto.com PIERRE J. HUBERT (Pro Hac Vice) CRAIG N. TOLLIVER (Pro Hac Vice) McKOOL SMITH PC 300 West 6th Street, Suite 1700 Austin, TX 78701 Telephone: (512) 692-8700; Facsimile: (512) 692-8744 E-mail: phubert@mckoolsmith.com; ctolliver@mckoolsmith.com Attorneys for Plaintiff RAMBUS INC. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION HVNIV CEMICONDUCTOD INC CASE NO. CV 00-20905 RMW [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING RAMBUS INC.'S DAUBERT MOTION NO. 1 TO EXCLUDE CERTAIN TESTIMONY OF RICHARD J. GILBERT Date: November 21, 2007 Time: 2:00 pm Department 6, 4th Floor Dept: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte Judge:

17	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC.; HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR AMERICA, INC.; HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR U.K. LTD.; and HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR DEUTSCHLAND GmbH,	
18		
19	Plaintiff,	
20	,	
21	VS.	
22	RAMBUS INC.,	
23	Defendant.	
24	RAMBUS INC.,	
25	Plaintiff,	
26	V.	
27	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC., et al.,	
28	3798755.1 Defendants	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

CASE NO.: C 05-00334 RMW

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING RAMBUS INC.'S *DAUBERT* MOTION NO. 1 TO EXCLUDE CERTAIN TESTIMONY OF RICHARD J. GILBERT,

1	RAMBUS INC.,	CASE NO.: C 05-02298 RMW	
2	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.: C 05-022/6 RIVI W	
3	v.		
4	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,		
5	et al., Defendants		
6	RAMBUS INC.,	CASE NO.: C 06-02244 RMW	
7	Plaintiff,		
8	v.		
9	MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC.,		
11			
12	Defendants		
13			
14			
15	After reviewing the papers submitted by the parties and hearing argument, the Court		
16	hereby grants Rambus's Daubert Motion No. 1 to Exclude Certain Testimony of Richard J.		
17	Gilbert. Specifically, Counterclaimants in the above-captioned cases should be precluded,		
18	pursuant to Federal Rules of Evidence 403 and 702, from eliciting testimony from Dr. Gilbert		
19	with respect to each and all of the following subjects:		
20	(1) The conclusions ("(a)" through "(h)") summarized at pages six through eight (6-8)		
21	of Dr. Gilbert's Rule 26 report;		
22			
23	(2) The relevant market for evaluating Rambus's market power and conduct;		
24	(3) Whether Rambus acquired or has monopoly power in any relevant market;		
25	(4) Whether Rambus's alleged conduct was anticompetitive;		
26	(5) Whether Rambus's alleged conduct caused it to acquire monopoly power or		
27	caused anticompetitive harm;		
28	3798755.1	- 1 -	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING RAMBUS INC.'S D	AUBERT MOTION NO. 1 TO EXCLUDE CERTAIN TESTIMONY	

Case5:00-cv-20905-RMW Document2625 Filed10/17/07 Page3 of 4 Whether there is a dangerous probability that Rambus will acquire monopoly (6) power in any relevant market; The contents of the evidence in this case; (7) The credibility of, weight to be assigned to, or inferences and conclusions to be (8) drawn from the evidence in the case; (9) Whether JEDEC members should have known that Rambus had relevant intellectual property; and (10)Whether JEDEC's meeting minutes and the content of its meetings were confidential. IT IS SO ORDERED DATED: October 17, 2007 Hon. Ronald M. Whyte

16

25

27

28

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3798755.1

- 2 -

