IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Richmond Division

STEVES	AND	SONS,	INC.	,
STEVES	AND	SONS,	INC.	

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 3:16-cv-545

JELD-WEN, INC.,

Defendant.

VERDICT FORM

I. ANTITRUST CLAIM

1. As to COUNT ONE, we, the jury, find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that JELD-WEN's acquisition of CMI violated Section 7 of the Clayton Act.

Yes____No___

If your answer is "No," do not respond to Paragraph 2. If your answer is "Yes," respond to Paragraph 2.

2. As to COUNT ONE, we, the jury, find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that JELD-WEN's violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act caused an injury to Steves that was of the type that the antitrust laws were intended to prevent.

Yes____No___

If your answer is "No," do not respond to Paragraph 3. If your answer is "Yes," respond to Paragraphs 3(a)-(b).

- 3. (a) As to COUNT ONE, we, the jury, find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the plaintiff is entitled to damages for antitrust injuries **already sustained** as a result of the following conduct (if none, write "0"):
 - (1) JELD-WEN's overcharging Steves for doorskins
 (other than Madison or Monroe)

\$ 8,630,567.

(2) JELD-WEN's overcharging Steves for Madison and Monroe doorskins

\$ 1303,035.

(3) JELD-WEN's shipping defective doorskins to Steves and failing to reimburse Steves for those doorskins

\$ 441, 458 .

(4) JELD-WEN's refusing to reimburse Steves for the cost of doors that incorporated defective doorskins

\$<u>1,776,813</u>.

(b) As to COUNT ONE, we, the jury, find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the plaintiff is entitled to damages in the amount of $\frac{96,960,561}{1}$ for **future lost profits**. If none, write "0."

II. BREACH OF CONTRACT CLAIMS

4. As to COUNT TWO, we, the jury, find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the defendant breached Section 6 of the Supply Agreement by overcharging Steves for doorskins (other than Madison and Monroe doorskins, which are addressed separately in Paragraphs 6 and 7 below.)

Yes____No___

If your answer is "No," do not respond to Paragraph 5. If your answer is "Yes," respond to Paragraph 5.

- 5. As to COUNT TWO, we, the jury, find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the plaintiff is entitled to damages in the amount of $\frac{8,630,567}{}$ for the breach of Section 6. If none, write "0."
- 6. As to COUNT TWO, we, the jury, find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the defendant breached Section 1 of the Supply Agreement by overcharging Steves for Madison and Monroe doorskins.

Yes No

If your answer is "No," do not respond to Paragraph 7. If your answer is "Yes," respond to Paragraph 7.

- 7. As to COUNT TWO, we, the jury, find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the plaintiff is entitled to damages in the amount of \$\frac{1}{3}\frac{03}{03}\frac{5}{5}\$ for the breach of Section 1 (overcharging Steves for Madison and Monroe doorskins). If none, write "0."
- 8. As to COUNT TWO, we, the jury, find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the defendant breached Section 8 of the Supply Agreement by shipping defective doorskins to Steves and by failing to reimburse Steves for those doorskins.

If your answer is "No," do not respond to Paragraph 9. If your answer is "Yes," respond to Paragraph 9.

- 9. As to COUNT TWO, we, the jury, find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the plaintiff is entitled to damages in the amount of \$\frac{141,458}{}\$ for the breach of Section 8 (failing to reimburse Steves for defective doorskins). If none, write "0."
- 10. As to COUNT TWO, we, the jury, find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Steves proved that Section 8 of the Supply Agreement requires JELD-WEN to reimburse Steves

for the cost of doors made using defective doorskins, and that JELD-WEN breached Section 8 by refusing to reimburse Steves for the cost of doors that incorporated defective doorskins.

Yes_______No_____

If your answer is "No," do not respond to Paragraph 11. If your answer is "Yes," respond to Paragraph 11.

11. As to COUNT TWO, we, the jury, find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the plaintiff is entitled to damages in the amount of $\frac{1.776.813}{}$ for the breach of Section 8 (refusing to reimburse Steves for the cost of doors that incorporated defective doorskins). If none, write "0."

SEALED PURSUANT TO E-GOVT ACT

Date: February <u>15</u>, 2018



