	I5fnaiya
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	x
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
4	v. 18 Cr. 333 (JGK)
5	AKSHAY AIYER,
6	Defendant. Arraignment
7	x
8	New York, New York May 15, 2018
	3:00 p.m.
10	Before:
11	HON. JOHN G. KOELTL,
12	District Judge
13	
14	APPEARANCES
15	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Antitrust Division
16	BY: BENJAMIN SIROTA ERIC C. HOFFMANN
17	MARTIN KLOTZ
18	MICHAEL SCHACHTER Attorneys for Defendant
19	
20	ALSO PRESENT:
21	U.S. Pretrial Services Officer Joseph Perry
22	
23	
24	
25	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Case called)

MR. SIROTA: Good afternoon, your Honor. Ben Sirota from the Department of Justice Antitrust Division, for the government.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. HOFFMANN: Eric Hoffmann, Department of Justice antitrust.

MR. PERRY: Joseph Perry, Pretrial Services, sir.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. KLOTZ: Good afternoon, your Honor. Martin Klotz and Michael Schachter, Willkie Farr & Gallagher, for Mr. Aiyer, who is sitting to my left.

THE COURT: All right.

Good afternoon, all.

Where are we?

MR. SIROTA: So we are here for an arraignment and initial appearance, your Honor. The defendant was indicted on Thursday. This is his first appearance.

THE COURT: OK. Thank you.

Mr. Aiyer, am I pronouncing the name correctly?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Aiyer, you have the right to remain silent. You need not make any statement. Even if you've already made statements to the authorities, you need not make any additional statements. Any statements that you do make can

25

```
1
      be used against you.
 2
               Do you understand?
 3
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: You have the right to be represented by a
 5
      lawyer today and at all future proceedings in this case, and if
6
      you are unable to afford a lawyer I will appoint a lawyer to
 7
      represent you.
 8
               Do you understand?
9
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10
               THE COURT: You are being represented by Martin Klotz
11
      and Michael Schachter of Willkie Farr & Gallagher; is that
12
      correct?
13
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
14
               THE COURT: And so you are not asking me to appoint
15
      counsel for you, correct?
16
               THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
17
               THE COURT: OK.
18
               I will arraign you on the indictment. Have you seen a
19
      copy of the indictment against you?
20
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21
               THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your lawyer?
22
               THE DEFENDANT: I have.
23
               THE COURT: Do you want me to read the indictment
24
      aloud to you in open court or do you with to give up, or waive,
```

the reading of the indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: Waive the reading, please, sir.

THE COURT: All right.

How do you lead to the charges against you in the indictment? Guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty.

THE COURT: All right. The defendant's plea of not guilty will be entered.

Mr. Sirota, tell me about the case.

MR. SIROTA: Thank you, your Honor. The defendant here, Mr. Aiyer, was a trader of foreign currencies who worked for a major bank out of its New York office. He traded a bundle of foreign currencies that we call as a shorthand CEEMEA currencies. That is an acronym that stands for Central and Eastern European, Middle Eastern, and African, CEEMEA currencies.

We allege that the defendant conspired with his competitors, who were also traders of CEEMEA currencies at major banks, to suppress and eliminate competition by fixing prices and rigging bids and offers for these CEEMEA currencies that they commonly traded.

By doing so we allege that they violated the Sherman Antitrust Act, which is 15 United States Code, Section 1. The time period of the conspiracy that we allege is at least as early as October 2010, continuing through at least July 2013.

This is all laid out in the charging paragraphs of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

indictment, which are paragraphs 20 and 21. And then more detail is provided in terms of means and methods in paragraph 22.

THE COURT: OK. Thank you.

There is the issue of bail, conditions of release. Let me come back to that.

Has the government begun to make discovery?

MR. HOFFMANN: Not yet, your Honor. We reached an agreement with counsel on a protective order. We believe we will be able to start making discovery in approximately two weeks.

THE COURT: How much time for discovery?

MR. HOFFMANN: To produce it all out?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. HOFFMANN: We believe the bulk, which addresses
Rule 16 and our obligations under *Brady* and *Giglio* we can get
out let's say by July.

If there is any additional material that defendant's counsel may want, that could take longer.

THE COURT: All right.

So the government estimates at this point it will make discovery by the end of July.

What I would normally do is to set the case down for another conference after the defense has had an opportunity to review the discovery and to determine what motions, if any, the

defense intends to make. Of course, that would give the defense the opportunity to ask for additional discovery from the government. So I would set it down for another conference probably toward the end of September.

But before I do any of that, let me listen to defense counsel for anything defense counsel wants to tell me.

Mr. Klotz?

MR. KLOTZ: Thank you, your Honor.

I think, Judge, that would be perfectly satisfactory, and that is in fact what we were going to propose in terms of the schedule.

I am not certain that by the end of September we will be in a position to know what motions we intend to make.

Discovery in the case is going to be quite voluminous, and there are several components of it.

I think there is a core of discovery that is undisputed. Then we are also going to be getting indices from the government that indicate other areas where we may want to pursue more documents.

So I think we're just going to be in a position of getting a handle on the scope of what we have and what we still want by the end of the summer and into September, but I think it makes sense to set this down for a conference at that point and we will have a better idea how much time we need to evaluate motions at that point.

THE COURT: Sounds fine to me. 1 How about Wednesday, October 17, at 4:30? 2 3 Is that good? 4 MR. HOFFMANN: Yes, your Honor. 5 MR. KLOTZ: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: OK. 7 Another conference, Wednesday, October 17, at 4:30 8 p.m. 9 I will exclude prospectively the time from today until 10 October 17 from Speedy Trial Act calculations. The continuance is designed to assure effective assistance of counsel. 11 12 required because of the complexity of the case and the amount 13 of discovery. 14 The Court finds that the ends of justice served by 15 ordering the continuance outweigh the best interest of the defendant and the public in a speedy trial. This order of 16 17 exclusion is made pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 3161(h)(7)(A). 18 Mr. Sirota, what is the status of the speedy trial clock? 19 20 MR. SIROTA: I believe in the order we received from 21 your Honor your Honor excluded the time between the indictment 22 and today's date, so I don't think there are any days accrued 23 at this point. 24 THE COURT: So no time off the speedy trial clock at 25 this point?

25

1 MR. SIROTA: That's correct. That's my understanding. 2 THE COURT: Do you agree with that, Mr. Klotz? 3 MR. KLOTZ: I do, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: OK. 5 Which takes us, then, to the issue of conditions of 6 release. 7 What are the parties' positions? MR. HOFFMANN: Yes, your Honor, the government's view 8 9 is that Mr. Aiyer presents a flight risk. However, we have reached an agreement with defense counsel on a combination of 10 conditions that we think will reasonably assure his continued 11 12 appearance and safeguard the community. 13 I can go over those. 14 The agreement calls for a \$650,000 bond that would be 15 cosigned by two financially solvent individuals who the government has had the opportunity to speak to. 16 17 The defendant would also deposit \$150,000 cash as 18 security. There are travel restrictions, Southern and Eastern 19 20 District of New York, to and from Martha's Vineyard. 21 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Southern and Eastern District 2.2 of New York. 23 MR. HOFFMANN: And travel to and from a property that 24 the defendant owns in Martha's Vineyard.

THE COURT: OK. Massachusetts.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. HOFFMANN: Yes, and travel incidental to and from.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. HOFFMANN: We also understand the defendant has today his Indian passport which he is willing to surrender, and the agreement we have reached is that he turns that over and not seek to get a replacement.

He also agrees not to violate any state or local laws on release.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't get that.

MR. HOFFMANN: He also agrees not to violate any federal state or local laws on release.

THE COURT: Right.

All of those conditions appear to be reasonable to assure the presence of the defendant and the safety of the community.

So you agree with all those conditions, Mr. Klotz?

MR. KLOTZ: I do, your Honor. This was a negotiated agreement.

There is one additional travel waiver that I have discussed with the government and I believe they agree to. That is, over Memorial Day weekend Mr. Aiyer would like to travel to California to a wedding.

It is an important event for him. We have his flight reservations. We're happy to give them to the prosecutors or to pretrial services or anyone who needs them. He will be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

leaving May 24, returning May 29.

We ask that that be a one-time waiver from the restrictions that Mr. Hoffmann recited.

THE COURT: OK. The government?

MR. HOFFMANN: The government has no objection.

THE COURT: OK. That trip is authorized.

The defendant should make sure to provide the travel plans to pretrial services. And the conditions that were listed by the government I will include in an order of release.

Has the government approved the cosigners already?

MR. HOFFMANN: Yes, your Honor. We had the opportunity to speak to both of them, and we think they both understand their obligation and have the financial resources.

THE COURT: OK. And how much time to put up the \$150,000?

MR. HOFFMANN: It's contemplated within seven days.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. KLOTZ: Judge, if I may request, I would ask the same amount of time for the cosigners to get in and sign the bonds. At least one of them had some business restrictions that might cause him to take a day or so.

THE COURT: OK. Seven days to post the cash security and for the financially responsible cosigners to sign.

Yes, sir?

MR. PERRY: Your Honor, since the defendant admitted

to pretrial that he used marijuana maybe two or three months ago, we recommend drug testing and treatment, random drug testing and treatment.

THE COURT: OK. Fine.

Random drug testing.

MR. PERRY: Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. SIROTA: I have one additional relatively minor issue, your Honor.

THE COURT: Whatever.

MR. SIROTA: In a case like this the government is bound to notify potential victims of the proceedings in this case. Rather than doing it via individual notice, given the voluminous nature of the potential victims, we've proposed what we call alternative notification procedures.

It's the same procedures we have used in every case in this investigation. They are twofold. One is to post notice of the proceedings on our website, the Antitrust Division's website.

The second is to notify plaintiff counsel in the class action proceeding that is pending before Judge Schofield. It is an FX matter that has some similarities to this case.

THE COURT: Who is the judge? I'm sorry.

MR. SIROTA: Judge Schofield.

THE COURT: OK.

I5fnaiya MR. SIROTA: We have a proposed order and a motion 1 2 which we're happy to pass up, or we can submit it through the 3 normal channels. Either one is fine with us. THE COURT: Have you shown it to defendant? 4 5 MR. SIROTA: Yes, it's on consent. They have not 6 opposed it. 7 THE COURT: It is on consent? 8 MR. KLOTZ: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Why don't you hand it up. 10 MR. SIROTA: Thank you, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: OK. I've signed the order. 12 We will put it on ECF. 13 Anything else? 14 MR. SIROTA: Thank you, your Honor. Nothing further from the government. 15 16 MR. KLOTZ: Nothing further for the defense, your 17 Honor. 18 THE COURT: OK. Good to see you all. 19 (Adjourned) 20 21 22

23

24

25