FILED

CASPER W. WEINBERGER M. LAURENCE POPOFSKY HELLER, EHRMAN, WHITE & MCAULIFFE 14 Montgomery Street San Francisco, California Telephone: YU 1-5000 Attorneys for Plaintiff

> IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

> > SOUTHERN DIVISION !

JOHN P. MAGUIRE & CO., INC., a corporation, Plaintiff,

VS.

CONTINENTAL TV, INC., a corporation; A & G SALES, INC., a corporation; SYL-PAC, INC., a corporation; JOHN DOE CO.; GEORGE N. SHAHOOD and MARGARITHA H. SHAHOOD,

Defendants.

4251

COMPLAINT FOR DEBT AND POSSESSION OF PERSONAL PROPERTY

COMES NOW plaintiff JOHN P. MAGUIRE & CO., INC., a corporation, complaining of defendants, and for causes of action alleges as follows:

FIRST CAUSE

I.

Plaintiff JOHN P. MAGUIRE & CO., INC., is a corporation organized and existing under and pursuant to the laws of the State of Delaware, and maintains its principal place of business in New York, New York. Defendant CONTINENTAL TV, INC. is a corporation organized and existing under and pursuant to the laws of the State of California, and maintains its principal place of business at 3585 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Santa Clara County, California. De-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

fendant A & G SALES, INC. is a corporation organized and existing under and pursuant to the laws of the State of California and maintains its principal place of business in San Francisco and Oakland, California. Defendant SYL-PAC, INC. is a corporation organized and existing under and pursuant to the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business in Salinas, California. Defendant JOHN DOE CO. is believed to be a corporation organized and existing under and pursuant to the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business in Sacramento, California. Defendants A & G SALES, INC., SYL-PAC, INC. and JOHN DOE CO. are subsidiaries or affiliates of defendant CONTINENTAL TV, INC. The amount in controversy exceeds, exclusive of interest and costs, the sum of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000).

II.

Commencing in or about July, 1964, plaintiff has financed defendants' inventory acquisitions by loaning defendants monies used to purchase television receivers, radios, high-fidelity reproducers and accessories, supplies, replacement parts and related products from Sylvania Electric Products, Inc. In connection with said financing, plaintiff entered into an underlying loan agreement with defendants, whereby defendants agreed to repay the principal amount of any sums loaned to finance the inventory acquisitions when said merchandise was sold or in the event said merchandise was not sold within six (6) months of acquisition, defendants were accorded four (4) months free of interest with an option to extend the maturity date for repayment of the principal an additional sixty (60) days with appropriate interest charges. Upon acquiring inventory merchandise from Sylvania Electric Products, Inc., defendant CONTINENTAL TV, INC. executed a series of security agreements and promissory notes in the manner and form of that certain Trust Receipt

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

(Security Agreement) and related promissory note appended hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

III.

A statement of trust receipt financing relating to defendants' inventory in products manufactured or sold by Sylvania Electric Products, Inc. was duly filed in the office of the Secretary of State of California on August 3, 1964. Additional financing statements pursuant to the California Uniform Commercial Code covering both inventory and proceeds of collateral were filed in the office of the Secretary of State of California on January 15, 1965 and August 5, 1965. By way of confirmation of plaintiff's security interest in both inventory and proceeds in connection with the aforesaid financing arrangements, defendants and all of them agreed that any and all inventory subject to the security agreements described above and transferred by defendant CONTINENTAL TV, INC. to the premises of the other defendants would remain subject to plaintiff's security interest therein. A copy of the agreement entitled "Confirmation of Security Interest" is appended hereto as Exhibit B incorporated herein by reference.

IV.

Defendants have defaulted in the performance of their obligations under the security agreements described above in at least the following particulars:

> 1. Defendant CONTINENTAL TV, INC. has failed to pay sums owing to plaintiff when due and when demand therefor has been made under the terms of the underlying agreement; as a result the principal amount of all monies loaned defendant CONTINENTAL TV, INC. by plaintiff is now due and owing and demand therefor has been made.

2. Defendant CONTINENTAL TV, INC. has failed to observe or perform the obligations assumed by it in the following particulars:

- (a) Defendants and all of them have failed to hold the proceeds of each sale of Trust Merchandise separate and apart from defendants' own property and have failed to account for such proceeds to plaintiff or forthwith pay them over to plaintiff.
- (b) Defendants have failed to keep
 the Trust Merchandise fully insured at its
 own cost and expense in an insurance company
 acceptable to plaintiff, against loss or
 damage by fire, theft and other perils covered
 by standard form of extended coverage, in
 such amount as fully protect plaintiff's
 interest therein.

V.

As a result of said defaults by defendants, plaintiff has cancelled the trusts and all of them in accordance with the terms of the underlying loan agreement and the security agreements described above and is entitled to take immediate possession of all Trust Merchandise in the possession of defendants wheresoever located. Plaintiff has made demand upon defendants for possession of said merchandise, but defendants have refused and still refuse to turn possession over to plaintiff, and instead have continued to sell said merchandise in the ordinary course of defendants! business. As of October 7, 1965 defendants had in their possession Trust Merchandise subject to Trust Receipts (security agreements) and promissory notes in the total amount of Seventy-seven Thousand

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

Sixty-eight Dollars and thirty-three cents (\$77,068.33). Appended hereto marked Exhibit C and incorporated herein by reference is a schedule of the Trust Merchandise subject to said Trust Receipts (security agreements) and promissory notes at defendants' various locations on October 7, 1965. Said Trust Merchandise has not been taken for a tax, assessment or fine pursuant to statute or seized under an execution or an attachment against property of plaintiff.

VI.

Plaintiff has performed all of the duties and obligations on its part to be performed under the terms of the underlying loan agreement and the Trust Receipts (security agreements) described above.

VII.

There is now due and owing plaintiff from defendants the total sum of \$169,186.79, representing the principal, interest and past due renewal charges unpaid by defendants under the terms of the underlying loan agreement and the promissory notes described above.

SECOND CAUSE

Plaintiff realleges as though set forth in full, paragraphs I, II and III of its First Cause.

II.

On October 1, 1965 there was an account stated between plaintiff and defendants in the total amount of \$169,186.79, which said amount on said date was and remains due and owing from defendants to plaintiff.

THIRD CAUSE

Plaintiff JOHN P. MAGUIRE & CO., INC. is a corporation organized and existing under and pursuant to the laws of the State of Delaware, and maintains its principal place of business in New

HELLER, EHRMAN, WHITE & MCAULIFFE
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
14 MONTGOMERY STREET
SAN FRANCISCO 94104

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

York, New York. Defendants GEORGE N. SHAHOOD and MARGARITHA H. SHAHOOD are husband and wife, citizens of the State of California, and residents of Santa Clara County, California. The amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000).

II.

Plaintiff realleges as though set forth in full, paragraphs II through VII of its First Cause.

III.

On July 14, 1964 and on a date subsequent thereto defendants GEORGE N. SHAHOOD and MARGARITHA H. SHAHOOD entered into written contracts of Guaranty of past and future indebtedness with plaintiff in the total amount of \$130,000. Appended hereto marked Exhibit D and incorporated herein are true and correct copies of said contracts. Plaintiff has performed all of the duties and obligations on its part to be performed under the terms of said written contracts. Defendants GEORGE N. SHAHOOD and MARGARITHA H. SHAHOOD have not paid plaintiff the sum of \$130,000 or any other sum under the terms of said contracts, and said amount is now due and owing plaintiff from said defendants.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. For possession of all Trust Merchandise in the possession of defendants pursuant to plaintiff's security interest in said merchandise, and for a decree foreclosing defendants' interest therein or, in the alternative, for damages equal to the value of said personal property.
- 2. For damages in the total sum of \$169,186.79 or such lesser sum as appears after reduction by the amount of personal property possessed through the procedures of this Court in accordance with paragraph 1 of this prayer, with interest thereon from October



1, 1965 at the rate of six per cent (6%) as against defendants CONTINENTAL TV, INC., A & G SALES, INC. and SYL-PAC, INC.

- 3. For damages in the sum of \$130,000 with interest thereon from October 1, 1965 at the rate of six per cent (6%) as against defendants GOERGE N. SHAHOOD and MARGARITHA H. SHAHOOD.
- 4. For plaintiff's reasonable attorney's fees in connection with these proceedings, its costs of suit incurred and for such other and further relief as to the Court appears just.

Dated: October 12, 1965.

HELLER, EHRMAN, WHITE & MCAULIFFE

Attorneys for Plaintiff